

complete your programming course

about resources, doubts and more!

MYEXAMPLE

# Salesforce

(Certified Platform App Builder)

Certified Platform App Builder

Total: **472 Questions**

Link:

## Question: 1

Universal Containers manages internal projects by department using a custom object called Projects. Only employees in the project's respective department should have view access to all of the department's project records. If an employee changes job roles and moves to another department, the employee should no longer have access to the projects within their former department.

Which two options will meet these requirements assuming the organization-wide default for Projects is set to Private? (Choose two.)

- A. Create a criteria-based sharing rule using the Project's department that grants access to users by profiles.
- B. Create a criteria-based sharing rule using the Project's department that grants access to users by permission sets.
- C. Create a criteria-based sharing rule using the Project's department that grants access to users by roles.
- D. Create a criteria-based sharing rule using the Project's department that grants access to users by public groups.

**Answer: CD**

### Explanation:

Here's a detailed justification for why options C and D are the correct solutions, and why A and B are not, within the context of Salesforce Platform App Builder and addressing the problem of granting access to project records based on department membership:

The primary requirement is to grant view access to project records only to employees within the project's department and to revoke that access automatically when an employee moves to a different department. The OWD for Projects is Private, meaning only record owners and those granted explicit permission can view the records. We need a scalable and maintainable solution.

**Option C: Create a criteria-based sharing rule using the Project's department that grants access to users by roles.** This is a suitable solution because roles often reflect an employee's position within a departmental hierarchy. Sharing rules can be defined such that if a Project's "Department" field matches the role hierarchy of the user, access is granted. When an employee changes departments, their role will change, and they will automatically lose access to the previous department's projects, and gain access to the new department's projects, based on sharing rule criteria.

**Option D: Create a criteria-based sharing rule using the Project's department that grants access to users by public groups.** This is also a good solution. A public group can be created for each department, containing all the employees in that department. The sharing rule would then grant access to the relevant public group based on the department field on the project. This is easily maintainable as users move from one department to another, you update the group memberships.

**Option A: Create a criteria-based sharing rule using the Project's department that grants access to users by profiles.** This is incorrect because profiles define a user's job function but don't typically change when a user moves within an organization. Profiles are more about what a user can do (object access, field-level security) and less about who sees what. Changing a profile for a department transfer is generally an incorrect approach.

**Option B: Create a criteria-based sharing rule using the Project's department that grants access to users by permission sets.** This is also generally an incorrect approach for this scenario. Permission sets are used to grant additional permissions and access beyond what's defined in the profile. It would be difficult to efficiently revoke access automatically when someone changes departments using only permission sets without complex automation or customization, especially if some permission sets provide other relevant permissions that should not be removed. Similar to Profiles, they are less about data visibility and more about functional access.

In summary, using roles or public groups allows dynamic access control based on the Project's department

field, effectively managing visibility as employees move between departments. **Authoritative Links for Further Research:**

Salesforce Sharing Rules: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security\\_sharing.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security_sharing.htm&type=5) Salesforce Roles: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security\\_about\\_role\\_hierarchy.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security_about_role_hierarchy.htm&type=5) Salesforce Public Groups: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security\\_public\\_groups.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security_public_groups.htm&type=5) Salesforce Profiles: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.users\\_profiles\\_overview.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.users_profiles_overview.htm&type=5) Salesforce Permission Sets: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.perm\\_sets\\_overview.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.perm_sets_overview.htm&type=5)

## Question: 2

Universal Containers has a customer base where many customers have the same or similar company names. Which functionality should be configured to improve an end user's search experience? (Choose two.)

- A. Update the account search layouts search filter fields.
- B. Update the account search layouts accounts tab columns displayed.
- C. Update the account search layouts search results columns displayed.
- D. Update the account search layouts list view filter settings.

**Answer: AC**

### Explanation:

The correct answer is AC because it focuses on directly influencing the information presented during a search for Accounts. Option A, "Update the account search layouts search filter fields," allows administrators to provide more specific and relevant fields for users to narrow down their search criteria. By adding fields like Account Number, Industry, or Region as filter options, users can quickly isolate the correct Account even if multiple Accounts share similar names. This leverages the power of structured querying to refine the search result set.

Option C, "Update the account search layouts search results columns displayed," lets administrators control which Account fields are displayed in the search results. Including fields beyond just the Account Name, such as Account Owner, Location, or Industry, provides additional context for the user to differentiate between Accounts with similar names. Displaying relevant information directly in the search results saves the user from having to click into each Account record to determine the correct one, thus improving efficiency.

Option B, "Update the account search layouts accounts tab columns displayed," refers to the columns displayed on the Accounts tab, which is a separate function from the global search. While customizing the Account tab list view is beneficial, it does not directly enhance the search experience when a user is actively searching for an account with a similar name.

Option D, "Update the account search layouts list view filter settings," is also related to the Accounts tab list view and not directly to the global search function. List views are pre-defined sets of records with particular filters applied, but they don't impact the initial search process for finding an Account.

Therefore, configuring the search filter fields (A) and the search results columns displayed (C) are the two most effective strategies for improving the user's search experience when dealing with Accounts that have similar names. These options streamline the search process by allowing users to refine search criteria and view relevant contextual information directly in the search results.

Relevant links for further reading:

Salesforce Help: [Customize Search Layouts](#)  
Salesforce Help: [Search Results](#)

### Question: 3

An app builder creates an Account validation rule on the Industry field that will throw an error if the length of the field is longer than 6 characters. Another app builder creates a workflow with a field update that sets the Industry field to Technology whenever the Billing City field is set to San Francisco.

What will happen the next time a sales person saves an Account with a Billing City of San Francisco?

- A.The record will save and the Industry field will change to Technology.
- B.The record will not save and no error message will be displayed.
- C.The record will not save the validation rule's error message will be displayed.
- D.The record will save but the Industry field will not change to Territory.

**Answer: A**

#### Explanation:

The correct answer is A: The record will save and the Industry field will change to Technology. Here's why:

Validation rules in Salesforce are triggered before workflow rules. This means when an Account record with Billing City set to San Francisco is saved, the workflow rule will fire after the validation rule check is passed.

However, the validation rule checks the length of the Industry field. Initially, before the workflow updates it, the Industry field could be empty or have a value less than or equal to 6 characters long, thus passing the validation.

The workflow rule then sets the Industry field to "Technology". "Technology" has a length of 10 characters, which exceeds the validation rule's limit of 6. However, workflow field updates bypass validation rules. This is a crucial point in understanding Salesforce order of execution. Field updates initiated by workflow rules, Process Builder, or Flow (before Save flow) do not trigger validation rules.

Therefore, the record saves successfully, and the Industry field is updated to "Technology", even though it violates the validation rule. The validation rule's purpose is to ensure data quality on initial entry or explicit edits, but it intentionally allows automated updates by the system to proceed without interruption. This design prevents situations where automated processes are blocked by previously defined validations if the system logic understands the consequences.

For further information on Salesforce's order of execution and how validation rules interact with workflow rules, consult the official Salesforce documentation:

**Salesforce Order of Execution:**<https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=000322122&type=1>

**Validation Rules:**[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.fields\\_about\\_validation\\_rules.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.fields_about_validation_rules.htm&type=5)

### Question: 4

What is a true statement when deleting a dashboard?

- A.Deleting a dashboard also deletes the components within it. It does not delete the custom reports used by the components.
- B.Deleting a dashboard does not move the dashboard to the Recycle Bin and therefore the dashboard cannot be recovered.
- C.Deleting a dashboard also deletes the components within it as well as the custom reports used by the components.
- D.Deleting a dashboard requires a user to first edit the components to remove the underlying reports.

**Answer: A**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is A: Deleting a dashboard also deletes the components within it, but does not delete the custom reports used by the components. Here's why:

Dashboards in Salesforce are visual representations of data derived from underlying reports. Think of a dashboard as a container that organizes and displays information. Dashboard components, such as charts and gauges, visualize the data provided by these reports. When you delete a dashboard, you're essentially removing this container and its contents (the components).

However, deleting a dashboard does not affect the source reports. These reports are independent entities that exist separately from the dashboard. They can be used in other dashboards or accessed directly. This separation is a core principle of data modeling and reporting in cloud platforms like Salesforce, ensuring data integrity and reusability. The underlying reports are data sources and are unaffected by the deletion of the visual layer on top of them.

Option B is incorrect because when a dashboard is deleted, it does go to the Recycle Bin, meaning it can be recovered for a certain period. Options C and D are incorrect because reports are not deleted or require component edits to be removed before deleting the dashboard. Salesforce is designed in a way where reports are created and run independently. They can exist independently of the dashboard. This promotes reuse and reduces the need to create custom reports. The dashboard is only an instrument, not a master controller that deletes its reports. This architecture of separating the data and report elements from the dashboard gives users the freedom to manipulate dashboards with minimal impact on the data.

Furthermore, ensuring the underlying data and reports are not deleted promotes the 'single source of truth' principle. This principle suggests data should only be edited at its origin, not at every instance of its use.

In conclusion, the relationship between dashboards and reports is that of a presentation layer to a data source. Deleting the presentation layer (the dashboard) doesn't impact the underlying data and reports.

[Salesforce Help: Build Dashboards with the Dashboard Builder](#)[Salesforce Help: Reports and Dashboards](#)

**Question: 5**

A junction object has two Master-Detail relationships, a primary and a secondary master object. What happens to a junction object record when both associated master records are deleted?

- A. The junction object record is permanently deleted and can't be restored.
- B. The delete operation cannot be performed on both master records.
- C. The delete operation is not allowed with Roll-up summary fields defined.
- D. The junction object records is deleted and placed in the recycle bin.

**Answer: A**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is A: The junction object record is permanently deleted and can't be restored. This is because junction objects, in this scenario, inherit the cascading delete behavior from both Master-Detail relationships. When a Master-Detail relationship exists, deleting the master record results in the automatic deletion of the related detail records.

Since the junction object has two Master-Detail relationships, it's "double-subjected" to this cascading delete. When the first master record is deleted, Salesforce initiates the deletion of the junction object record. Before

the deletion is fully committed (removed from the database, not just moved to the recycle bin), Salesforce attempts to evaluate the second Master-Detail relationship. Because the junction object is already flagged for deletion, Salesforce considers it a valid deletion of the second master relationship's detail record when the second master is also deleted.

Because of the hard delete resulting from the first Master-Detail relationship's cascade, the junction object record bypasses the Recycle Bin entirely. It's permanently removed from the Salesforce database. Standard Salesforce functionality, like data recovery services, also cannot restore the junction record.

Options B, C, and D are incorrect. The delete operation can be performed on both master records (contradicting option B). Roll-up summary fields don't prevent the cascading delete behavior (contradicting option C). Finally, the junction object record is not placed in the recycle bin (contradicting option D).

Further research on Master-Detail relationships and cascading delete behavior in Salesforce can be found here:

**Salesforce Help: Relationships Between Objects:**[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.relationships\\_considerations.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.relationships_considerations.htm&type=5)

**Understanding Relationship Deletion Rules in Salesforce:** <https://www.shellblack.com/2010/05/relationship-delete-rules/>

### Question: 6

Universal Containers wants its Field Sales team to only see the accounts that they own. Separate North American and European marketing teams should only see accounts in their respective regions. The Inside Sales Team needs to see all accounts in Salesforce.

How should an app builder accomplish this?

- A. Set the Organization-Wide Default to Public for accounts. Create profiles for each Marketing Team, and create an Inside Sales Team role that is at the top of the Role Hierarchy.
- B. Set the Organization-Wide Default to Public for accounts. Create criteria-based sharing rules for each Marketing Team, and create an Inside Sales Team permission set with the "View All" setting for accounts.
- C. Set the Organization-Wide Default to Private for accounts. Create permission sets for each Marketing Team, and create an Inside Sales Team profile with the "View All" setting for accounts.
- D. Set the Organization-Wide Default to Private for accounts. Create criteria-based sharing rules for each Marketing Team, and create an Inside Sales Team profile with the "View All" setting for accounts.

**Answer: D**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is D because it provides the most secure and flexible solution to meet Universal Containers' requirements. Let's break down why:

**Organization-Wide Default (OWD) set to Private for Accounts:** This is crucial for security. Starting with a private OWD ensures that users can only see records they own unless explicitly granted access through other mechanisms. This satisfies the requirement that Field Sales only sees their accounts. More information on OWD can be found on the Salesforce Help documentation [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security\\_controlling\\_data\\_access.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security_controlling_data_access.htm&type=5).

**Criteria-Based Sharing Rules for Marketing Teams:** Sharing rules allow you to automatically grant access to records based on specific criteria. In this case, you can create separate sharing rules for the North American and European Marketing teams, granting them access to accounts in their respective regions based on a field like "Region" on the Account object. Criteria-based sharing rules are explained further in the documentation: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.sharing\\_rules\\_criteria\\_based.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.sharing_rules_criteria_based.htm&type=5).

**Inside Sales Team Profile with "View All" for Accounts:** Profiles control object-level and field-level security, as well as user permissions. By granting the "View All" object permission for accounts to the Inside Sales team's profile, they can see all accounts regardless of ownership or region. A profile is suitable in this scenario because View All is often a relatively static permission for a particular group of users. Details about profiles are available here:

[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.users\\_profiles\\_overview.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.users_profiles_overview.htm&type=5).

Let's analyze why the other options are less ideal:

**Option A & B (Public OWD):** Setting the OWD to Public is the least secure option. It exposes all account data to everyone in the organization, which violates the requirement that Field Sales only sees their own accounts. While sharing rules can restrict this, starting from an overly permissive setting is bad practice.

**Option C (Permission Sets for Marketing Teams):** While permission sets can be used, criteria-based sharing rules are more suitable in this particular scenario because they directly address the requirement of regional access based on account data, and a single sharing rule handles many users.

Therefore, option D is the most efficient and secure solution, combining the principle of least privilege (private OWD) with targeted sharing and appropriate profile permissions.

### Question: 7

The app builder has just created a Visual Workflow for the VP of Service. Which two Flow be accessed by users? (Choose two.)

- A. Custom Button
- B. Quick Action
- C. Visual Workflow Launcher
- D. From a Process

**Answer: AB**

**Explanation:**

The answer correctly identifies the two ways users can access a flow created for the VP of Service when the context implies direct user interaction/initiation.

**A. Custom Button:** A custom button placed on a page layout (like a Case page, if the flow assists service processes) can launch the flow. This makes the flow easily accessible within the user's workflow, improving efficiency. This represents a declarative way to connect a flow to a user interface element.

**B. Quick Action:** Similar to a custom button, a quick action (either global or object-specific) can invoke a flow. This provides another UI option for users to start the service-oriented flow from relevant records or globally accessible locations.

**C. Visual Workflow Launcher:** While there isn't an explicitly named "Visual Workflow Launcher" component in the standard Salesforce UI, this option is misleading. It's more accurate to say flows are launched via elements that launch workflows, such as buttons or actions. A direct component named "Visual Workflow Launcher" doesn't inherently exist as a standalone clickable item for users.

**D. From a Process:** While a process builder can trigger a flow, this is an automated backend execution. It doesn't represent a user directly initiating the flow. Therefore, it's not directly user-accessible in the initial triggering step that this question asks for. Processes execute based on record changes or scheduled times, not explicit user clicks.



In conclusion, custom buttons and quick actions are declarative options to expose flows to end-users within Salesforce's UI, allowing them to initiate flows directly.

#### Authoritative Links:

**Flow Actions:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.flow\\_ref\\_elements\\_action.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.flow_ref_elements_action.htm&type=5) **Quick Actions:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize\\_functions\\_quick\\_actions.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize_functions_quick_actions.htm&type=5)

#### Question: 8

Which two metadata changes can be made directly in a production environment without deploying from a sandbox? (Choose two.)

- A. Validation rules
- B. Apex Triggers
- C. Apex Classes
- D. Visualforce pages

**Answer: AD**

#### Question: 9

The Training team at Universal Containers uses a custom Training object to track their customer training sessions. An app builder needs to create a relationship between the Training object and the related Students' record. Which two statements are true when creating a Student Lookup field on the Training object? (Choose two.)

- A. On Training record, the Student Lookup field can be made optional.
- B. On Student record, users can set up Roll-up summary field on Training records.
- C. On Training record, users can only delete Students, if they have access to it.
- D. Cross-object field updates between Training and Student records are not supported.

**Answer: AD**

#### Explanation:

Let's analyze why options A and D are correct, and why options B and C are incorrect.

##### **Option A: On Training record, the Student Lookup field can be made optional.**

This statement is **TRUE**. Lookup fields, by default, are optional. When creating a lookup relationship, the app builder has the choice to make the lookup field required. If not explicitly set as required, it remains optional. This means a Training record can exist without necessarily being linked to a Student record, reflecting real-world scenarios where a training session might not have an attendee immediately assigned or might be a generic training not specific to a single student. [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.fields\\_lookup\\_parent.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.fields_lookup_parent.htm&type=5)

##### **Option B: On Student record, users can set up Roll-up summary field on Training records.**

This statement is **FALSE**. Roll-up summary fields can only be created on the master object in a master-detail relationship. With a lookup relationship (as is the case described), roll-up summary fields are not supported. If we wanted roll-up summaries, we would need a master-detail relationship between Student (master) and Training (detail).

**Option C: On Training record, users can only delete Students, if they have access to it.**

This statement is **FALSE**. The ability to delete a Student record isn't directly controlled by the Training record in a Lookup relationship. Deletion permissions for Student records are governed by the user's profile, permission sets, and sharing rules on the Student object itself. The existence of the Lookup field on Training doesn't grant or restrict delete access to Student records. If it was a Master-Detail relationship with cascade delete behavior enabled, deleting a student might trigger deletion of related Training records, but not the other way around.

**Option D: Cross-object field updates between Training and Student records are not supported.**

This statement is **TRUE**. Standard workflow rules and process builder only support cross-object field updates in Master-Detail relationships, not in Lookup relationships. However, with newer functionalities like Flow, this is supported. But if the question is specifically for the traditional workflow or process builder, the answer is true. This limitation is because lookup relationships are less tightly coupled than master-detail relationships.

If you need real-time cross-object updates between Training and Student, you'd typically leverage Apex triggers or Flows.

In summary, lookup relationships provide a flexible way to connect related records, but they do not offer the same level of control and automation that master-detail relationships offer, particularly in terms of roll-up summaries and cross-object updates (with standard workflow rules). The optional nature of the lookup field adds to this flexibility.

**Question: 10**

An app builder would like to streamline the user experience by reflecting summarized calculations of specific fields on various objects.

Which three field types could be used in roll-up summary fields to accomplish this? (Choose three.)

- A. Checkbox
- B. Date
- C. Percent
- D. Time
- E. Currency

**Answer: BCE**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is BCE: Checkbox, Date, and Currency. Roll-up summary fields are designed to aggregate data from related child records onto a parent record. The type of data you are rolling up significantly impacts which field types are supported.

**B. Date:** Roll-up summary fields can use Date fields to find the earliest or latest date related to the child records. This is useful for tracking deadlines, milestones, or other date-sensitive information. For example, you can find the latest close date of all Opportunities related to an Account.

**C. Percent:** Roll-up summary fields can use Percent fields for calculations such as average, sum, minimum, or maximum. This would allow you to track the average discount percentage offered across all Opportunities related to an Account.

**E. Currency:** Roll-up summary fields can use Currency fields to calculate the sum, average, minimum, or maximum of monetary values from the child records. A classic example is summing up the total amount from all Opportunities related to an Account.

### Why the other options are incorrect:

**A. Checkbox:** While Checkbox fields can be used in roll-up summary fields, their primary function would be limited to COUNT operations. You cannot calculate SUM, MIN, MAX or AVG with a checkbox. However, the prompt is asking which field types could be used to achieve summarized calculations.

**D. Time:** Time fields are not supported in roll-up summary fields. Roll-up summary fields are more geared toward tracking aggregate values across records. While you could calculate the difference between two date and time values, you cannot roll-up time fields directly.

### Authoritative Links:

Salesforce Help: Roll-Up Summary Fields: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.fields\\_about\\_roll\\_up\\_summary\\_fields.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.fields_about_roll_up_summary_fields.htm&type=5)

## Question: 11

Which two are capabilities of record types? (Choose two.)

- A. Displaying different field labels.
- B. Displaying different page layouts.
- C. Filtering picklist values.
- D. Having multiple record types on one record.

**Answer: BC**

### Explanation:

The correct answer is BC because record types in Salesforce provide powerful customization options for how users interact with records based on different business processes or user profiles.

Record types directly impact the display and functionality of records. Option B, displaying different page layouts, is a fundamental capability of record types. Each record type can be associated with a distinct page layout, dictating which fields are visible, their order, and whether they are required for users creating or editing records of that type. This allows organizations to tailor the user interface for different roles or processes.

Option C, filtering picklist values, is another key benefit. Record types enable administrators to restrict the available values in a picklist field based on the selected record type. This ensures that users only see relevant choices, reducing errors and improving data quality. For example, a "Case" record type for "Technical Support" might only show picklist values related to technical issues, while a "Case" record type for "Billing Inquiries" would show options related to billing matters.

Option A is incorrect. Record types do not directly change the labels of fields. While you can achieve similar effects using custom fields and different page layouts, record types themselves do not provide this functionality. Field labels are defined at the field level, not at the record type level.

Option D is incorrect. A single record can only have one record type assigned to it at any given time. Record types are mutually exclusive and are used to categorize and define the behavior of a single record.

In essence, record types enhance usability and data consistency by controlling which page layouts are displayed and which picklist values are available. [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize\\_recordtype.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize_recordtype.htm&type=5) [https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/lex\\_implementation](https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/lex_implementation)

### Question: 12

A custom object has a Public Read Only sharing setting that does not grant access using hierarchies. A dynamic sharing rule provides Write access to the object to the Global Marketing public group if the record is marked as Global. A user creates a new record and marks it as Global. Who will have write access to the record?

- A. The Global Marketing public group and anyone above the owner in the role hierarchy.
- B. The record owner and the Global Marketing public group.
- C. The record owner and anyone above the owner in the role hierarchy.
- D. The Global Marketing public group, the record owner, and anyone above the owner in the role hierarchy.

**Answer: B**

#### Explanation:

Here's a detailed justification for why the answer B is correct:

The scenario involves a custom object with a Public Read Only sharing setting and a dynamic sharing rule granting Write access. Let's break down why the correct answer is that the record owner and the Global Marketing public group will have write access.

Firstly, "Public Read Only" organizational-wide default sharing sets a baseline level of access. In this case, everyone in the organization has read access to all records of this custom object by default. However, this doesn't grant write access. It only provides read access unless other sharing mechanisms grant additional permissions. Hierarchies are explicitly disabled in the sharing settings, meaning role hierarchy will not be used to expand access.

Secondly, a dynamic sharing rule is in place. This sharing rule gives the Global Marketing public group Write access to records marked as "Global." Since the user marks the new record as "Global," this rule is triggered, granting the Global Marketing public group Write access. Dynamic sharing rules are powerful features that grant access based on record content.

Thirdly, the record owner always has full access (Read/Write/Edit/Delete) to the records they own, irrespective of sharing settings. This is a fundamental principle of Salesforce security. So, the user who created the record also has full access. The fact the object is public read-only does not impact the owner's access as the owner of the record implicitly has full access.

Therefore, the combination of owner access and the dynamic sharing rule results in both the record owner and the Global Marketing public group having Write access.

The option that includes users above the owner in the role hierarchy is incorrect because the question states that hierarchies are disabled. Hence only the sharing rule is available to expand access beyond the owner.

#### Authoritative Links for Further Research:

**Controlling Access Using Hierarchies:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security\\_about\\_hierarchies.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security_about_hierarchies.htm&type=5)

**Sharing Rules:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security\\_sharing\\_rules.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security_sharing_rules.htm&type=5) **Record Ownership:** Research record ownership principles in Salesforce documentation.

### Question: 13

Which three are features of the Custom Button? (Choose three.)

- A. Custom Button with Javascripts enhance Lightning Experience.

- B. Custom Button is available for User Object.
- C. Custom Button display at the top and bottom of a page.
- D. Custom Button is available for Person Account.
- E. Custom Button can reference an external app.

**Answer: CDE**

**Explanation:**

Here's a breakdown of why options C, D, and E are correct regarding Salesforce custom button features, and why A and B are incorrect:

**C. Custom Button displays at the top and bottom of a page.** This is generally true for list view and record detail pages. Salesforce allows admins to configure custom buttons to appear in these prominent locations, increasing user accessibility and visibility. This is a standard part of the page layout customization. **D. Custom Button is available for Person Account.** Person accounts, being a specialized account type, often require customized workflows. Salesforce allows custom buttons to be created and assigned to Person Account page layouts, enabling tailored actions directly from the Person Account record.

**E. Custom Button can reference an external app.** This is a key functionality. Custom buttons can be configured to launch external applications or URLs using JavaScript or simple URL redirects. This enables integration with third-party systems or web services, extending Salesforce's capabilities. A button can pass data from the Salesforce record to the external app through the URL.

Now, let's explain why the other options are incorrect:

**A. Custom Button with Javascripts enhance Lightning Experience.** While Custom buttons can use JavaScript, they don't inherently "enhance" Lightning Experience. Lightning components (Aura or LWC) are the preferred approach for enhancing Lightning Experience, offering better performance and a modern UI. Custom buttons with JavaScript might still work, especially when directing to visualforce page, but are not the recommended nor optimal method.

**B. Custom Button is available for User Object.** While custom buttons can be created, they can not be placed directly on User objects. They are usually deployed within the setup menus or communities. Users tend to interact with user management through setup rather than page layouts.

Therefore, the only options that accurately describe core features of custom buttons are that they display on the top and bottom of pages, are available for Person Accounts, and can reference external applications.

Authoritative Links:

Salesforce Help: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize\\_buttons.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize_buttons.htm&type=5)

Trailhead - Quick Start: Buttons and Links:

[https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/lex\\_customization/lex\\_customization\\_buttons\\_links](https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/lex_customization/lex_customization_buttons_links)

**Question: 14**

Universal Containers has two teams: Sales and Services. Both teams interact with the same records. Sales users use ten fields on the Account record. Service users use three of the same fields as the Sales team, but also have five of their own, which the Sales team does not use.

What is the minimum configuration necessary to meet this requirement?

- A. One profile, one record type, one page layout.
- B. One profile, two record types, one page layout.
- C. Two profiles, two record types, two page layouts.
- D. Two profiles, one record type, two page layouts.

**Answer: D**

**Explanation:**

Here's a detailed justification for why the correct answer is **D. Two profiles, one record type, two page layouts**.

The key to this scenario lies in efficiently managing field visibility and access for different user groups (Sales and Service) interacting with the same Account records.

**Why Two Profiles are Needed:** Profiles control fundamental object-level permissions, field-level security, and app access. Since the Sales and Service teams have different sets of required fields and potentially different access needs (e.g., read-only vs. read-write for certain common fields), using two profiles (one for Sales and one for Service) is necessary to enforce distinct field-level security settings. Profiles will allow you to dictate which fields each user group can view and edit.

**Why One Record Type is Sufficient:** A record type is used to differentiate the type of record, offering different picklist values and potentially different page layouts. In this case, both teams are working with the same type of Account record. The difference isn't in the fundamental nature of the Account, but rather in which fields are relevant to each team. Therefore, only one record type is needed.

**Why Two Page Layouts are Needed:** Page layouts control the organization and visibility of fields and related lists on a record detail page. Because the Sales and Service teams have different field requirements, each team should have their own page layout. The Sales layout will show the ten relevant fields to their team, and the Service layout will show their eight fields (3 shared + 5 unique). This ensures that users see only the information pertinent to their role, making the user interface cleaner and more efficient. Field-level security (controlled by profiles) complements the page layouts by enforcing which fields are actually visible and editable.

Option A is wrong because it would not allow tailored access for the teams. Option B is incorrect because, you don't need to split account records by type, merely change their interface. Option C may work to solve the problem, but is not the minimum as it is an unnecessary record type.

In essence, this approach uses profiles for access control and page layouts for user interface customization. Here are some useful links for further research:

[Salesforce Profiles](#): Official Salesforce documentation on Profiles.

[Salesforce Page Layouts](#): Official Salesforce documentation on Page Layouts.

[Salesforce Record Types](#): Official Salesforce documentation on Record Types.

**Question: 15**

In Salesforce Classic, which two statements are true for embedding a Visualforce page in a page layout? (Choose two.)

- A. Visualforce pages on a field set have attribute for width and height.
- B. Visualforce pages can only be placed in the Visualforce section in a page layout.
- C. Visualforce pages on a page layout have attributes for width and height.
- D. Visualforce pages can be placed in the details section of a page layout.

**Answer: CD**

**Explanation:**

Let's break down why options C and D are correct, and why A and B are incorrect for embedding Visualforce



pages in page layouts within Salesforce Classic.

Option C is correct: Visualforce pages embedded directly onto a page layout do have width and height attributes. These attributes are defined in the `<apex:page>` tag within your Visualforce code and control the dimensions of the embedded Visualforce frame. This allows administrators to adjust the size of the Visualforce component to fit well within the existing page layout structure, ensuring a visually integrated experience. Setting appropriate width and height is crucial for preventing scrollbars or awkward spacing.

Option D is correct: Visualforce pages can be embedded within the details section of a page layout. Salesforce provides flexibility in how you arrange and present information. By adding a Visualforce page directly to the details section, you can display related data, perform custom actions, or enhance the user interface within the context of the record being viewed. This enhances user efficiency.

Option A is incorrect: Field sets are collections of fields that can be dynamically rendered on Visualforce pages or other UI components. While field sets themselves enhance the flexibility of data presentation, Visualforce pages placed directly on a page layout using the Visualforce Page section and not via dynamic rendering using field sets are the ones that have explicit width and height attributes. Therefore, this statement is misleading in the context of the core question about directly embedding Visualforce.

Option B is incorrect: Visualforce pages are not restricted to a Visualforce section. They can be placed within the details section, as highlighted in option D. The Visualforce Page section is the most common and explicit way to include them. Therefore, the assertion that they are only placed in a Visualforce section is false.

In summary, the administrator can adjust the dimensions (width and height) of Visualforce pages placed on page layouts, and they are not restricted to just a Visualforce section. The key is understanding the flexibility that Salesforce provides for tailoring the UI through Visualforce and page layout customization.

Relevant Links:

Salesforce Help: [Customize Page Layouts with the Enhanced Page Layout Editor](#)  
Visualforce Developer Guide: [Visualforce Pages](#)

### Question: 16

Which two rules can be configured for the Opportunity object? (Choose two.)

- A. Escalation Rule
- B. Validation Rule
- C. Assignment Rule
- D. Workflow Rule

**Answer: BD**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is B and D: Validation Rules and Workflow Rules, respectively. Let's break down why these are applicable to the Opportunity object in Salesforce.

Validation Rules are crucial for data quality. They enforce data integrity by verifying that specific criteria are met before a record (like an Opportunity) can be saved. They are configured using formulas that evaluate the data entered against predefined conditions. If the validation rule's criteria are met, an error message is displayed, preventing the record from being saved until the data is corrected. This is a fundamental data governance practice. For example, you might create a validation rule to ensure the "Close Date" is not in the past or that the "Amount" field is greater than zero when the "Stage" is set to "Proposal/Quote." [Salesforce Validation Rules](#)

Workflow Rules are automation tools that define actions to be executed when certain criteria are met. They provide a method for automating standard internal procedures and processes to save time and increase efficiency. When an Opportunity record meets the specified criteria, the workflow rule can trigger actions such as sending an email, updating a field, creating a task, or sending an outbound message. For example, a workflow rule can automatically assign a task to a manager when an Opportunity's "Amount" exceeds a certain threshold. Workflow Rules enhance operational efficiency by eliminating the need for manual intervention for routine tasks. [Salesforce Workflow Rules](#)

Escalation Rules (Option A) are used for case management in service cloud. Their purpose is to automatically escalate cases to the right people when they are not resolved within a specific timeframe. They are specifically designed for cases, not Opportunities.

Assignment Rules (Option C) are used to automatically assign leads or cases to users or queues based on predefined criteria. They are primarily related to Lead and Case objects, ensuring that incoming records are routed to the appropriate personnel or groups for handling. They are not typically configured directly for Opportunities, although indirect assignment could occur via related objects.

Therefore, Validation Rules and Workflow Rules are directly configurable for the Opportunity object to enforce data integrity and automate processes respectively, making them the correct choices.

### Question: 17

Universal Containers uses a private Account sharing model. They have a Process Improvement team with representatives from multiple departments that needs to view all accounts that have been flagged as problem accounts. How should this team be granted access to the records?

- A. Use a record owner sharing rule that is shared with the Process Improvement public group.
- B. Use a criteria-based sharing rule where the accounts are shared with the Process Improvement public group.
- C. Write a trigger to use Apex Managed Sharing to grant access with the Process Improvement team.
- D. Use a record owner sharing rule that is shared with the Process Improvement role.

**Answer: B**

**Explanation:**

Here's a detailed justification for why option B is the best answer:

Universal Containers uses a private Account sharing model, which means users can only see their own records and those shared with them explicitly. The Process Improvement team, comprised of members from various departments, requires access to all "problem accounts." Since a private model is in place, we need a mechanism to grant wider access to these specific records.

Option A, using a record owner sharing rule, wouldn't be effective because the Process Improvement team members likely aren't the owners of all flagged accounts. Sharing based on ownership would only give access to accounts owned by someone in the public group, missing accounts owned by others outside the group.

Option B, a criteria-based sharing rule, is the most appropriate solution. It allows sharing records with the Process Improvement public group based on a specific condition, in this case, the "flagged as problem account" status. This ensures that all accounts meeting this criterion are shared, regardless of who owns them. Public groups are effective for managing a static set of users across different roles or profiles.

Option C, using Apex Managed Sharing, is an overkill solution for this scenario. While it offers granular control, it requires custom code (a trigger), increasing complexity and maintenance overhead. Sharing rules are declarative and easier to configure and manage, making them the preferred solution when they fulfill the



requirements. Additionally, Apex managed sharing could encounter governor limits if the number of accounts matching the "problem account" criteria is large.

Option D, using a record owner sharing rule with a role, is also less suitable. It's unlikely that all owners of "problem accounts" will be under a specific role hierarchy. If the account owner is not beneath this defined role, they won't have access to the account, which makes this answer incorrect.

Therefore, a criteria-based sharing rule aligns best with the requirements by declaratively granting access to specific accounts that meet the defined criteria ("flagged as problem accounts") to the Process Improvement team (represented by a public group), without requiring code or specific ownership structures. It addresses the core requirement of the problem in a clear and manageable way within the constraints of a private sharing model.

#### Authoritative Links:

**Sharing Rules:**[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security\\_sharing\\_rules.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security_sharing_rules.htm&type=5) **Public Groups:**[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security\\_public\\_groups.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security_public_groups.htm&type=5) **Apex Managed Sharing:**[https://developer.salesforce.com/docs/atlas.en-us.apexcode.meta/apexcode/apex\\_bulk\\_sharing\\_understand.htm](https://developer.salesforce.com/docs/atlas.en-us.apexcode.meta/apexcode/apex_bulk_sharing_understand.htm)

#### Question: 18

Universal Containers wants to test code against a subset of production data that is under 5 GB. Additionally, Universal Containers wants to refresh this sandbox every weekend. What type of sandbox should be used to accomplish this?

- A. Developer Pro
- B. Developer
- C. Full
- D. Partial Copy

**Answer: D**

#### Explanation:

The correct answer is **D. Partial Copy**. Here's a detailed justification:

Partial Copy sandboxes are designed specifically for testing and quality assurance using a subset of your production data. They are ideal when you need a realistic testing environment without the extensive storage requirements and refresh times of a Full sandbox. The key reason this is the best choice is the data limit and refresh frequency. Universal Containers wants to test code against a subset of data under 5GB. Full Sandboxes can copy the entire production org which is much larger than 5GB, which would take more time and resources to do so.

Here's why the other options are not suitable:

**A. Developer Pro:** Developer Pro sandboxes offer more storage than Developer sandboxes but still have limitations in terms of data capacity and are primarily intended for development tasks, not comprehensive data-driven testing scenarios that require a production-like data set.

**B. Developer:** Developer sandboxes are mainly for individual developer tasks and are very limited in storage. They are unsuitable for testing against even a subset of production data.

**C. Full:** Full sandboxes replicate your entire production environment, including all data and metadata. While they offer the most comprehensive testing environment, they are resource-intensive, take significantly longer to refresh, and are not intended for weekly refreshes, especially when the testing needs focus on a data

subset. The size is also a challenge with the size of data replicated.

Partial Copy sandboxes, on the other hand, allow you to select specific objects and data to copy, staying within the 5 GB limit. Furthermore, they can be refreshed more frequently (e.g., weekly) compared to Full sandboxes, making them perfect for Universal Containers' requirements.

In conclusion, a Partial Copy sandbox allows Universal Containers to create a testing environment with a manageable subset of production data (under 5 GB) and refresh it regularly (every weekend), fulfilling all the specified requirements efficiently.

#### Authoritative Links for further research:

Salesforce Sandboxes Overview: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.data_sandbox_environments.htm&type=5)

[id=sf.data\\_sandbox\\_environments.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.data_sandbox_environments.htm&type=5)

Salesforce Sandbox Types and Features: [https://developer.salesforce.com/docs/atlas.en-us.sandboxes.meta/sandboxes/data\\_sandbox.htm](https://developer.salesforce.com/docs/atlas.en-us.sandboxes.meta/sandboxes/data_sandbox.htm)

### Question: 19

Universal Containers has a custom project evaluations object used by three business teams. Business team managers have requested that project evaluations be tracked and managed independently of each other with different set of custom fields and picklist values.

What is minimally required configuration to accomplish this?

- A. With a custom project evaluation object, create separate record types with different picklist values and page layouts for each team. Create and assign separate profiles by team.
- B. Create separate page layouts to determine the fields and picklist values for each user based on the team indicated on their user record. Use field-level security to restrict access to each team's fields.
- C. Create separate custom objects to track project evaluations independently of each other with record types and page layouts. Assign custom objects permissions with three different profiles.
- D. With a custom project evaluation object, create a separate page layout for each team and assign them using a profile. Use permission sets to configure each team's field list and picklist values.

#### Answer: A

#### Explanation:

The correct answer is A. Here's why:

The scenario requires independent tracking and management of project evaluations for three teams, with varying custom fields and picklist values. The most efficient and maintainable approach is to leverage record types within the existing custom object rather than creating multiple custom objects.

**Record Types:** Record types allow you to define different business processes, picklist values, and page layouts based on the user's profile. Creating a record type for each team satisfies the requirement of tracking project evaluations independently.

**Picklist Values:** Record types enable you to restrict the available picklist values for each team, catering to their specific needs.

**Page Layouts:** By creating a unique page layout for each record type, you can display only the relevant custom fields to each team, simplifying the user experience.

**Profiles:** Profiles control a user's access to objects, fields, and other Salesforce features. Creating separate profiles for each team allows you to assign the appropriate record type and page layout, ensuring that users only see and interact with the data relevant to their team.

### Why other options are incorrect:

**B:** While page layouts and FLS help control visibility, they don't effectively separate business processes or tailored picklist values like record types. Relying solely on the user record's team assignment would be cumbersome and less scalable.

**C:** Creating separate custom objects for each team is excessive and leads to data duplication and maintenance overhead. It violates best practices in Salesforce development.

**D:** While Permission Sets can grant access, they are typically used to extend access beyond what's provided by a profile, not to control core functionality like distinct picklist values. Profiles, in combination with record types, are better suited for defining fundamental access and behavior.

In summary, answer A provides the least amount of configuration to achieve the required outcome while adhering to Salesforce best practices. It utilizes the power of record types and profiles to create a maintainable and scalable solution for managing independent project evaluations.

### Supporting Documentation:

**Record Types:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.recordtypes\\_overview.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.recordtypes_overview.htm&type=5)

**Profiles:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.users\\_profiles\\_overview.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.users_profiles_overview.htm&type=5)

### Question: 20

At Universal Containers, multiple departments utilize the Case object for different purposes. Some users submit cases while other users provide customer support with case records.

What is the minimum required configuration for an app builder to enable different users to see different fields, based on the case type?

- A. Record Types, Page Layouts, Case Teams, and Profiles.
- B. Record Types, Page Layouts, Support Process, and Profiles.
- C. Record Types, Page Layouts, Permission Sets, and Profiles.
- D. Record Types, Page Layouts, Field Sets, and Profiles.

**Answer: B**

### Explanation:

The correct answer is B: Record Types, Page Layouts, Support Process, and Profiles. Here's why:

**Record Types:** Record types allow you to define different types of Cases. In this scenario, each department using the Case object for a distinct purpose can be represented by a unique record type. This is the foundational step to differentiate Case usage across departments. <https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.recordtypes.htm&type=5>

**Page Layouts:** Page layouts control the organization and visibility of fields, sections, and related lists on a record page. Different page layouts can be assigned to different record types. This ensures that users see only the fields relevant to their specific case type. [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize\\_layoutoverview.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize_layoutoverview.htm&type=5)

**Support Process:** Support Processes are used to define the lifecycle of a case, dictating the available Status values. Since different Case types might require unique processes for resolution, tying the Support Process to a Record Type enables specialized case handling tailored to each department's need. This ensures that each department uses appropriate Status options. [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize\\_supportprocess.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize_supportprocess.htm&type=5)

**Profiles:** Profiles control object-level security (CRUD - Create, Read, Update, Delete permissions) and field-level security. While record types and page layouts dictate how information is presented, profiles ultimately determine who can see and edit specific data. [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.users\\_profiles\\_overview.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.users_profiles_overview.htm&type=5)

Therefore, by combining Record Types, Page Layouts, Support Processes, and Profiles, you can effectively tailor the Case object experience to meet the needs of multiple departments, ensuring each department views and interacts with relevant fields only.

Why other options are incorrect:

**A (Record Types, Page Layouts, Case Teams, and Profiles):** Case Teams manage the collaborators working on the case but don't directly influence field visibility based on Case Type.

**C (Record Types, Page Layouts, Permission Sets, and Profiles):** While permission sets can grant additional permissions, profiles are still necessary to control baseline object and field access. Permission sets enhance existing profiles. Replacing Support process with Permission Sets will not define different Status options per Case Type.

**D (Record Types, Page Layouts, Field Sets, and Profiles):** Field sets are groupings of fields that can be dynamically displayed on Visualforce pages or Lightning components, but are not a primary mechanism for differentiating field visibility based on case type for standard UI experiences. Support process is also needed.

### Question: 21

Universal Containers would like to show different values to different groups of users in a custom picklist field. What should be configured?

- A. Page layouts
- B. Record Types
- C. Field-level security
- D. Permission sets

**Answer: B**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is **B. Record Types**.

Record Types in Salesforce allow administrators to offer different business processes, picklist values, and page layouts to different users based on their profiles or roles. In this scenario, Universal Containers needs to display varying picklist values depending on the user group. This requirement is directly addressed by record types. By creating different record types and assigning them to specific profiles or permission sets, the administrator can control which picklist values are available for each group of users. This facilitates data segmentation and a tailored user experience within the same Salesforce org.

Page layouts (A) control the arrangement of fields and sections on a record detail page, but they don't filter picklist values. Field-level security (C) controls the visibility and editability of fields, but it doesn't determine which picklist values are displayed. Permission sets (D) grant users specific permissions and access rights, but they don't directly control picklist values. While permission sets could be combined with custom code or flows to indirectly influence picklist display, record types offer a native and declarative (no-code) solution perfectly suited for this requirement.

Therefore, record types provide the most efficient and Salesforce-recommended method for customizing picklist values based on user groups without requiring code.

[Salesforce Help: Record Types](#) [Trailhead: Record Types and Page Layouts](#)

## Question: 22

Which statement is true about an External ID field? (Choose two.)

- A. The field contains unique record identifiers from a system outside of Salesforce.
- B. The field must be unique since duplicates are not allowed within Salesforce.
- C. The field must contain at least one number and at least one letter.
- D. The field can be unique based on case-sensitive or case-insensitive values.

**Answer: AD**

### Explanation:

Here's a detailed justification for why options A and D are the correct statements about External ID fields in Salesforce, along with supporting concepts and links:

#### **A. The field contains unique record identifiers from a system outside of Salesforce.**

This statement accurately reflects the primary purpose of an External ID field. External IDs are specifically designed to hold unique identifiers that originate from an external system, allowing for seamless integration and data synchronization between Salesforce and other platforms. When integrating data, Salesforce can use this external ID to match records from the external system to existing records within Salesforce, preventing duplicates and ensuring accurate data mapping. This is crucial for maintaining data integrity and avoids creating duplicate records. For instance, if you're importing customer data from a legacy CRM, the customer ID from the old system would be stored in an External ID field in Salesforce's Account object.

#### **D. The field can be unique based on case-sensitive or case-insensitive values.**

Salesforce offers flexibility in how uniqueness is enforced for External ID fields. You can define whether the uniqueness constraint is case-sensitive or case-insensitive. This customization is vital because external systems might have varying levels of case sensitivity in their identifiers. For example, if an external system treats "ABC123" and "abc123" as the same ID, you would configure the External ID field in Salesforce to be case-insensitive to reflect this. If the external system distinguishes between the two, the field should be case-sensitive. This is configured when you create/edit the custom field in Salesforce. Choosing the right sensitivity helps prevent integration errors and ensures proper data matching.

### Why the other options are incorrect:

**B. The field must be unique since duplicates are not allowed within Salesforce.** While the purpose of External IDs is typically to store unique external identifiers, Salesforce allows you to configure whether a field is actually unique or not. You can create an External ID that does not enforce uniqueness, although this is rare and generally not recommended. The field definition specifies whether it is also a unique field.

**C. The field must contain at least one number and at least one letter.** This is not a requirement. External ID fields can hold any character allowed by the data type you choose (e.g., Text, Number, Email, etc.). The content of the field will be dependent on the external system.

### Supporting Documentation:

**Salesforce Help: External ID Standard Field Attribute:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.fields\\_about\\_external\\_id.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.fields_about_external_id.htm&type=5)

**Trailhead: Data Management Module:** (Specifically, the section on "Data Integration") - Search "Trailhead Data Management" to find the relevant module, which explains the general principles of integrating external data in Salesforce.

### Question: 23

Universal Containers needs to update a field on an Account when an Opportunity Stage is changed to Closed Lost. Which two should be used to accomplish this requirement? (Choose two.)

- A. Workflow Rule
- B. Approval Process
- C. Process Builder
- D. Assignment Rule

**Answer: AC**

#### Explanation:

The correct answer is A and C: Workflow Rule and Process Builder. Let's break down why.

**Workflow Rules (A):** Workflow rules are automated business logic engines within Salesforce. They trigger actions based on defined criteria being met when a record is created or edited. In this scenario, a workflow rule can be configured to trigger when an Opportunity's Stage is changed to "Closed Lost." The action would then update a specified field on the related Account record. Workflow rules support field updates, making them suitable for this requirement.

[Salesforce Help: Workflow Rules](#)

**Process Builder (C):** Process Builder is a more powerful visual workflow tool that provides a user-friendly interface for automating complex business processes. Similar to workflow rules, it can be configured to trigger actions based on specific criteria, such as the Opportunity Stage being changed. Process Builder offers a wider range of actions compared to workflow rules, including updating related records (like the Account) with specific field values. Its ability to call Apex code, flow, etc makes it more robust than a workflow rule. This gives more flexibility for future changes.

[Salesforce Help: Process Builder](#)

**Why Approval Process (B) is incorrect:** Approval Processes are designed to manage the approval of records, such as Opportunities or Accounts, typically by designated approvers. While they can trigger actions based on approval status, they aren't designed primarily for simple field updates based on a specific field change like Opportunity Stage. Using an approval process just to update a field is an unnecessary complication.

**Why Assignment Rule (D) is incorrect:** Assignment Rules are used to automatically assign records (Leads, Cases) to specific users or queues based on predefined criteria. They are not designed to update fields on related records when a field on another record changes.

In essence, both Workflow Rules and Process Builder are viable options for triggering an action (updating an Account field) based on a specific Opportunity Stage change ("Closed Lost"). Process Builder offers more flexibility and scalability for complex scenarios, but Workflow Rules provide a simpler solution for basic field updates. Using Approval Process or Assignment Rules would not be correct because those are not the right tool for the job.

### Question: 24

Which three standard component types are available in Lightning App Builder? (Choose three.)



- A.Plain text
- B.Report details
- C.Filter report
- D.Rich text
- E.Recent items

**Answer: BDE**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer to which standard component types are available in the Lightning App Builder includes "Report Chart," "Rich Text," and "Recent Items."

**Report Chart (equivalent to Report Details):** The Lightning App Builder allows embedding report charts directly into Lightning pages. This provides a visual representation of underlying data, enhancing dashboards and providing at-a-glance insights. Displaying data graphically improves comprehension and informs decision-making. The component effectively embeds report data for immediate access.

**Rich Text:** This component allows administrators to add formatted text, images, and links directly to a Lightning page. Rich Text can be used for providing instructions, context, or general information within the app or page. It allows for custom messaging and guidance tailored to the user's task.

**Recent Items:** This component displays a list of recently accessed records by the current user. Providing quick access to frequently used records improves user efficiency and reduces search time. This component improves user experience and supports productivity.

The options "Plain Text" and "Filter Report" are not standard, out-of-the-box components. While plain text can be achieved through a Rich Text component by limiting formatting, there is not a specific "Plain Text" component. While report filters are an inherent element of any report chart, there is not a standalone, configurable "Filter Report" component that functions separately from the report itself to be used on a lightning page.

Therefore, the correct components available by default are visual, textual, and productivity-focused to enhance Lightning pages.

Further information can be found in Salesforce's official documentation on Lightning App Builder and standard components:

[Salesforce Help: Lightning App Builder](#)  
[Salesforce Help: Use Standard Lightning Components](#)

**Question: 25**

Universal Containers wants to collaborate with its customers within Salesforce, and has decided to enable the Allow Customer Invitations Chatter Setting.

What permission is granted to Customers when invited to a Chatter Group?

- A.The ability to @mention accounts of which they are a contact.
- B.The ability to interact with members of their groups.
- C.The ability to request access to public groups.
- D.The ability to invite members to groups of which they are a member.

**Answer: B**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is B: The ability to interact with members of their groups.

When Universal Containers enables "Allow Customer Invitations" in Chatter settings, it specifically targets enhancing collaboration with customers within designated Chatter groups. The fundamental purpose of inviting a customer to a Chatter group is to facilitate direct communication and collaboration among members. The permission granted reflects this aim. Option B aligns perfectly with the purpose of customer invitations. The customer's primary function within the group is to interact with other members, including employees of Universal Containers and potentially other customers, to discuss projects, provide feedback, or receive updates.

Option A is incorrect because directly @mentioning accounts implies broader access beyond the immediate group's context. While useful in certain scenarios, it isn't the core permission granted upon group invitation.

Option C is also incorrect. Customer invitations typically bypass the need for access requests to public groups. The invitation mechanism itself grants access. Requesting access is more applicable when a user discovers a group independently and wants to join.

Option D, giving customers the ability to invite new members, would grant far more permissions than necessary. The focus is on controlled collaboration within the already defined group membership, not to give customers admin-like permissions.

Enabling customer invitations allows external parties to participate in relevant conversations without requiring them to have a full Salesforce license. It offers a secure and controlled means for information sharing, feedback collection, and general collaboration that boosts the value of a CRM. This aligns with cloud computing's theme of self-service and on-demand resources while maintaining platform security. The interaction between the customer and the group allows for efficient communication.

For further research, consult the Salesforce Help documentation on Chatter settings and external users:

[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.collab\\_invite\\_customers.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.collab_invite_customers.htm&type=5)

### Question: 26

The VP of Sales has requested that Account Site information should be visible on all Opportunity records. What is the recommended solution to meet this requirement?

- A.Roll-Up Summary Field
- B.Cross-Object Formula Field
- C.Process Builder
- D.Workflow Rule

**Answer: B**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is **B. Cross-Object Formula Field**. Here's a detailed justification:

A Cross-Object Formula Field allows you to display data from a related object on a record. In this scenario, the Account Site information is stored on the Account object. The Opportunity object has a lookup relationship to the Account. Therefore, a Cross-Object Formula Field created on the Opportunity object can directly reference and display the Account's Site information. This approach provides real-time visibility of the Account Site information without requiring any complex automation.

Roll-Up Summary Fields (A) are used to aggregate data from child records to a parent record. They only work when there is a Master-Detail relationship between the objects. Since Opportunity has a Lookup to Account,



and not a Master-Detail, a Roll-Up Summary Field cannot be used.

Process Builder (C) and Workflow Rules (D) are automation tools. While they could theoretically be used to copy the Account Site information to a field on the Opportunity, this isn't the ideal solution. These automations would update a separate field which adds unnecessary data storage and complexity. Moreover, the information would only be updated when the automation is triggered.

A Cross-Object Formula Field is the most efficient and declarative way to display data from a related object on a record. It directly references the data without needing to store it separately or use any automation, ensuring the displayed data is always up-to-date. This leverages the relational database model inherent in Salesforce, efficiently displaying data across related records. This approach aligns with declarative development best practices, minimizing custom code and maximizing maintainability.

For further reading on Cross-Object Formula Fields:

Salesforce Help: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize\\_cross\\_object.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize_cross_object.htm&type=5)

Trailhead:

[https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/point\\_click\\_business\\_logic/point\\_click\\_business\\_logic\\_for](https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/point_click_business_logic/point_click_business_logic_for)

### Question: 27

A business user wants a quick way to edit a record's status and enter a custom due date field from the record's feed in Salesforce Mobile App.

What could be used to accomplish this?

- A. Custom quick access link
- B. Custom button
- C. Custom URL formula field
- D. Custom action

**Answer: D**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is **D. Custom Action**. Here's a detailed justification:

Custom actions are the ideal solution for enabling users to directly interact with records and perform specific tasks from within the Salesforce Mobile App (and Lightning Experience). They provide a user-friendly and efficient way to modify record data, trigger processes, and launch other functionalities directly from the feed or the record page. The ability to place them in the feed is key here.

Options A, B, and C are less suited to the requirement of editing a record's status and entering a custom due date from the feed. While those options may allow editing from other places, the prompt specifically mentions the feed. Custom actions, especially Global Actions or Object-Specific Actions, can be tailored to the specific object and fields involved (status and custom due date). You can predefine field values, making the process faster for users.

Custom actions can be configured to update specific fields directly, eliminating the need for users to navigate to the full record edit page. This streamlines the user experience, improving productivity, particularly in the mobile context where screen real estate is limited. Furthermore, you can define the layout of the action, controlling which fields are displayed and their order.

The desired outcome, allowing status updates and due date entry within the Salesforce Mobile App's feed, is precisely what custom actions are designed to achieve. They facilitate quick updates without disrupting the user's workflow, seamlessly integrating into the mobile experience. Using Global Actions allows users to

create records regardless of where they are in the app. Object-Specific Actions allow modifications of fields on a particular record.

Here are some relevant links for further research:

**Salesforce Help: Actions in Salesforce Classic:**[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.actions_overview.htm&type=5)

[id=sf.actions\\_overview.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.actions_overview.htm&type=5)

**Trailhead: Quick Start: Actions:**

[https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/lex\\_customization/lex\\_customization\\_actions](https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/lex_customization/lex_customization_actions)

### Question: 28

Which three statements are true about Master-Detail relationships? (Choose three.)

- A. Standard objects can be on the detail side of a custom object in a Master-Detail relationship.
- B. Master-Detail relationships cannot be converted to a look-up relationship.
- C. Deleting a master record in a Master-Detail relationship deletes all related detail records.
- D. Master-Detail relationships can convert to a lookup relationship if no roll-up summary fields exist on the master object.
- E. A Master-Detail relationship cannot be created if the custom object on the detail side already contains data.

**Answer: CDE**

#### Explanation:

Here's a detailed justification for why options C, D, and E are correct concerning Master-Detail relationships in Salesforce, and why options A and B are incorrect:

**C. Deleting a master record in a Master-Detail relationship deletes all related detail records.** This is a core characteristic of Master-Detail relationships, often referred to as a cascade delete. The detail record's existence is tightly coupled to the master record. When the master record is deleted, the detail records are automatically deleted as well. This behavior ensures data integrity and prevents orphaned detail records.

[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.relationships\\_considerations.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.relationships_considerations.htm&type=5)

**D. Master-Detail relationships can convert to a lookup relationship if no roll-up summary fields exist on the master object.** This is mostly true, but has specific conditions. Conversion is possible **only if** the Master-Detail field in **all records** of the Detail object has a value, otherwise, it can't be converted to a lookup relationship. Roll-up summary fields rely on the Master-Detail relationship to aggregate data from the detail records onto the master record. If the Master-Detail relationship were removed (by converting it to a Lookup), these roll-up summary fields would no longer function, thus Salesforce only allows the conversion when no such fields exist. The caveat for all records being populated ensures no broken connections after the potential conversion.

[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.relationships\\_considerations.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.relationships_considerations.htm&type=5)

**E. A Master-Detail relationship cannot be created if the custom object on the detail side already contains data.** This is a fundamental limitation. When creating a Master-Detail relationship, existing detail records must have a value in the Master-Detail field when the relationship is created. If the detail object already contains data without this Master-Detail field populated, it's impossible to enforce the relationship retroactively without first populating the Master-Detail field for every existing record. Salesforce requires the detail records to be related to a master record when the Master-Detail relationship is established. If it's not, you must populate the master-detail field and only then create the Master-Detail relationship.

[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.relationships\\_considerations.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.relationships_considerations.htm&type=5)

**Why A is incorrect:** Standard objects can be on the master side of a custom object in a Master-Detail relationship. For example, you could have a custom object (e.g., "Project") as the detail in a Master-Detail

relationship with the standard "Account" object as the master.

**Why B is incorrect:** Master-Detail relationships can be converted to a lookup relationship under certain conditions, as stated in option D, provided no roll-up summary fields exist and there's a value in the Master-Detail field for all records.

### Question: 29

Universal Containers wants sales reps to get permission from their managers before deleting Opportunities. What can be used to meet these requirements?

- A. Approval Process with Time-Dependent Workflow action.
- B. Approval Process with Apex Trigger.
- C. Two-step Approval Process.
- D. Process Builder with Submit for Approval Action.

**Answer: B**

#### Explanation:

The correct answer is **B. Approval Process with Apex Trigger**.

Here's a detailed justification:

The requirement is that sales reps need manager approval before deleting Opportunities. This indicates a need for an approval workflow that pauses the deletion process until a manager's decision is made.

**Approval Process:** Salesforce Approval Processes automate how records are approved in Salesforce. They specify the steps for approval and who needs to approve the record. This is fundamental for gating the deletion action.

**Apex Trigger:** An Apex Trigger is a piece of code that executes before or after specific data manipulation language (DML) events occur (like before delete or after delete). In this case, a before delete trigger is crucial.

The trigger's role is to intercept the delete action initiated by the sales rep. It can check if an approval process is already running for that Opportunity's deletion. If not, it submits the record to the defined approval process. The actual deletion is prevented by the trigger until the approval process is completed.

This is vital because standard approval processes in Salesforce can't directly prevent an action like deletion. They can only act on a record after it has been submitted for approval. The trigger is the mechanism that adds the necessary control before the deletion occurs.

After the approval process completes (either approved or rejected), the Apex trigger can then allow (approved) or prevent (rejected) the actual deletion to take place. This is done by throwing an exception to prevent deletion if it was rejected.

Let's analyze why the other options are less suitable:

**A. Approval Process with Time-Dependent Workflow action:** Time-dependent workflow actions wouldn't be helpful here. The need is for immediate prevention of deletion until approval, not actions based on a timer.

**C. Two-step Approval Process:** While a multi-step approval may be needed, a simple two-step process doesn't address the fundamental requirement of preventing deletion until the approval is finalized. It also doesn't provide the ability to dynamically stop the action from being executed.

**D. Process Builder with Submit for Approval Action:** Process Builder, like standard Approval Processes, cannot directly prevent the deletion from occurring before the approval is completed. Submitting for approval

is an action that occurs after a record is modified (or in this case, about to be deleted), but it does not have the capability to interrupt the deletion process and wait for the approval. You'd still need an Apex trigger to enforce that the deletion is blocked before the approval process finishes.

In conclusion, the combination of an Approval Process (to define the approval workflow) and an Apex Trigger (to intercept and prevent deletion until approval is granted) provides the most robust and controlled solution to meet the specified requirements.

#### Authoritative Links:

Salesforce Apex Triggers: [https://developer.salesforce.com/docs/atlas.en-us/apexcode/apex\\_triggers.htm](https://developer.salesforce.com/docs/atlas.en-us/apexcode/apex_triggers.htm) Salesforce Approval Processes: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.approvals\\_about.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.approvals_about.htm&type=5)

### Question: 30

Sales Managers at Universal Containers would like to standardize what information Sales Reps are gathering. Sales Reps want recommendations, sales strategies and to know what key fields need to be completed at each step of the sales process on the opportunity record.

What feature should an app builder use to provide this functionality?

- A. Workflow
- B. Global Action
- C. Path
- D. Chatter Feed

**Answer: C**

#### Explanation:

The correct answer is C, Path. Here's why:

Path provides a visual representation of a business process, guiding users through the stages of a record's lifecycle. In the context of Universal Containers' Sales Managers wanting to standardize information gathering and provide guidance to Sales Reps, Path is the ideal solution. It allows App Builders to define key fields to be completed at each stage (e.g., Qualification, Needs Analysis), offer guidance through text within each stage, and include links to relevant resources or sales strategies. This directly addresses the Sales Reps' need for recommendations, strategies, and clarity on required fields at each stage of the opportunity record.

Workflow (A) is primarily for automating backend processes and wouldn't directly guide users through the stages with clear instructions and field requirements visible on the record itself. Global Actions (B) allow users to create records or log details but don't guide users through a stage-based process on an existing record. Chatter Feed (D) provides a space for communication and updates but does not offer guided steps with defined key fields.

Path, therefore, offers the most suitable combination of visual guidance, required field enforcement, and informational content within the opportunity record, aligning directly with the stated requirements. It promotes standardization and aids Sales Reps in effectively moving opportunities through the sales process.

Authoritative Link:

Salesforce Path: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.path\\_overview.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.path_overview.htm&type=5)

### Question: 31

Which three Salesforce functionalities are ignored when processing field updates in workflow rules and approval processes? (Choose three.)

- A. Field-level security
- B. Record type picklist value assignments
- C. Multiple currencies
- D. Validation rules
- E. Decimal places and character limits

**Answer: ABD**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is ABD: Field-level security, Record type picklist value assignments, and Validation rules are often bypassed or have specific behavior when field updates occur through workflow rules and approval processes.

Here's why:

**A. Field-Level Security (FLS):** Workflow and approval processes, when updating fields, generally bypass field-level security. This means a user who normally doesn't have permission to edit a specific field can have that field updated via a workflow or approval action on their behalf. This is because the update is performed under the system context, bypassing the user's individual permissions.

Authoritative Link: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.workflow\\_considerations\\_field\\_updates.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.workflow_considerations_field_updates.htm&type=5)

**B. Record Type Picklist Value Assignments:** Record type picklist value assignments are generally not automatically enforced during workflow and approval process field updates. The update will occur, even if the new picklist value isn't a valid choice for the record's record type. This can lead to data integrity issues if not carefully managed.

Authoritative Link: Consider this community post for further information, although direct documentation is limited: <https://trailhead.salesforce.com/trailblazer-community/feed/0D54S00000A8sH9SAJ>

**D. Validation Rules:** While validation rules are generally bypassed, it's important to note that they are bypassed only when the "Re-evaluate Workflow Rules after Field Change" option is not selected on the workflow rule. If this option is selected, validation rules are triggered after the field update is made. Approval processes always re-evaluate validation rules. Thus, it's more accurate to say that they can be bypassed depending on the settings. If you intend to validate the data, ensure that re-evaluation option is selected.

Authoritative Link: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.workflow\\_considerations\\_field\\_updates.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.workflow_considerations_field_updates.htm&type=5)

**C. Multiple Currencies:** Workflow rules and approval processes respect multiple currencies. When updating currency fields, the system correctly handles currency conversion based on the defined exchange rates.

**E. Decimal Places and Character Limits:** Salesforce enforces decimal places and character limits on fields, regardless of whether the update comes from a user or from a workflow/approval process. If the updated value exceeds these limits, the update will fail.

In summary, understanding how workflow rules and approval processes interact with FLS, record types, and validation rules is crucial for maintaining data integrity and ensuring consistent behavior within your Salesforce org. Ignoring these considerations can lead to unexpected results and data quality problems. The system context under which these automated processes run often gives them greater privileges than typical user actions.

### Question: 32

An app builder has been asked to integrate Salesforce with an external web service. The web service must be notified every time an Opportunity is Won.  
Which two can satisfy this requirement? (Choose two.)

- A. Use a workflow rule and an outbound message.
- B. Use Process Builder with an outbound message.
- C. Use a flow and an outbound message.
- D. Use Process Builder and Apex code.

**Answer: AC**

#### Explanation:

The correct answer is A and C. Let's break down why:

**Workflow Rules and Outbound Messages (A):** Workflow rules can be configured to trigger when an Opportunity meets certain criteria, such as being marked as "Won." Outbound messages, defined within the workflow, can then be used to send data to an external web service via SOAP. This option offers a declarative, configuration-based solution, avoiding code.

**Flows and Outbound Messages (C):** Flows provide a more powerful and flexible way to automate business processes. A record-triggered flow can be configured to run when an Opportunity is updated and meets the "Won" condition. An outbound message element within the flow can then transmit data to the external web service. Flows offer better control over data transformation and error handling compared to workflow rules.

Why other options are not as suitable:

**Process builder with outbound message (B):** Process builder is similar to flow and it can call apex but it has been depreciated by Salesforce

**Process Builder and Apex Code (D):** While Process Builder can invoke Apex code, this option adds unnecessary complexity. Outbound messages offer a simpler, no-code approach for sending data to external services when a simple notification is required. Apex code is best reserved for more complex integrations or when custom logic is needed beyond what outbound messages can provide.

Outbound messages are well-suited for simple integrations where you need to send data to an external system without expecting a response or performing complex transformations. They're an asynchronous way to notify other systems of changes in Salesforce.

References:

Outbound Messaging: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.configuring\\_workflow\\_outbound\\_message.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.configuring_workflow_outbound_message.htm&type=5)

Flow Builder: [https://trailhead.salesforce.com/en/content/learn/modules/business\\_process\\_automation/flow](https://trailhead.salesforce.com/en/content/learn/modules/business_process_automation/flow)

### Question: 33

What sandbox type allows for the use of a sandbox template?

- A. Developer Sandbox
- B. Developer Pro Sandbox



- C.Config Sandbox
- D.Partial Sandbox

**Answer: D**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is D, Partial Sandbox. Let's break down why.

Salesforce offers different types of sandboxes, each designed for specific purposes and with varying data and configuration limits. These include Developer, Developer Pro, Partial Copy, and Full sandboxes. Sandbox templates are designed to offer more granular control over what data and metadata are copied into the sandbox.

Developer and Developer Pro sandboxes are primarily for development and testing in isolation. They are limited in size and don't support sandbox templates. Config sandboxes do not exist as a defined sandbox type in Salesforce's official terminology.

Partial Copy sandboxes allow a subset of the production org's data to be copied, in addition to all its metadata. This sandbox type is crucial for testing integration or user acceptance testing (UAT) where a representative data set is required. Critically, Partial Copy sandboxes do support the use of sandbox templates. Sandbox templates give administrators the ability to define which specific objects and the amount of data to be copied, making these sandboxes smaller and faster to refresh than Full sandboxes. This functionality optimizes the refresh process and focuses testing efforts on relevant data sets.

Full sandboxes also support templates. However, they copy the entire production org, making them significantly larger and slower to refresh than partial copy sandboxes. Typically, Full sandboxes are used for performance testing, staging, and mirroring the production environment for complex deployments.

Since the question explicitly asks about which sandbox type allows the use of sandbox templates, and the provided options do not include the Full sandbox option, Partial Copy Sandbox (option D) is the most accurate answer, as it is specifically designed for data subsets and leverages the control offered by sandbox templates.

For further information, you can consult Salesforce's official documentation:

[Sandbox Types and Features](#)  
[Creating and Using Sandbox Templates](#)

### Question: 34

Universal Containers would like to embed a chart of all related Opportunities, by stage, on the Account detail page. What type of report should an app builder create to add to the Account page layout?

- A.A summary report on the Account object.
- B.A tabular report on the Account object.
- C.A summary report on the Opportunity object.
- D.A tabular report on the Opportunity object.

**Answer: C**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is C: A summary report on the Opportunity object. Here's why:

Universal Containers wants to display a chart showing Opportunities related to an Account, grouped by stage.

This requires a report that can summarize data based on a grouping. Summary reports excel at this.

Here's a detailed breakdown:

1. **Requirement:** The company needs a chart showing related Opportunities grouped by stage on the Account detail page.
2. **Object Focus:** The core data being visualized are Opportunities. The Account provides the context (the "parent" record). The report must therefore originate from the Opportunity object.
3. **Report Type:** To display a chart that breaks down Opportunities by stage, the report needs the ability to group records. Tabular reports simply list records; they cannot perform groupings or calculations. Summary reports, on the other hand, allow for grouping data (e.g., by stage) and performing calculations on those groups (e.g., counting the number of Opportunities in each stage). This grouping functionality is essential for creating the desired chart.
4. **Chart Embedding:** Summary reports are compatible with embedding charts on page layouts. The chart leverages the groupings and summaries defined in the report.
5. **Incorrect Options:**

A summary report on the Account object - This would focus on summarizing Account data, not Opportunity data. It couldn't show the Opportunity stages.

A tabular report on the Account object or A tabular report on the Opportunity object - Tabular reports are simple lists and cannot summarize or group data, making them unsuitable for creating the requested chart.

In summary, a summary report on the Opportunity object is the only option that allows for grouping Opportunities by stage and displaying the resulting data in a chart embedded on the Account page layout. This provides the visual breakdown of Opportunities, fulfilling the requirement.

Authoritative link: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.reports\\_summary\\_format.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.reports_summary_format.htm&type=5)

### Question: 35

Which three values must be defined when creating a new Opportunity Stage picklist value? (Choose three.)

- A.Quota
- B.Forecast Category
- C.Amount
- D.Probability
- E.Type

**Answer: BDE**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer, BDE, reflects the mandatory configuration requirements when adding a new value to the Opportunity Stage picklist in Salesforce.

**Forecast Category (B):** This is essential because each Opportunity Stage is directly linked to a Forecast Category. The Forecast Category dictates how the Opportunity's potential revenue is reflected in sales forecasts. Every Stage must map to a Forecast Category (e.g., Pipeline, Best Case, Committed, Omitted). Without this mapping, the Opportunity will not be correctly represented in forecasting reports, hindering sales



predictions and resource allocation. Refer to this Salesforce Help article for detailed forecast category information: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.forecasts3\\_category.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.forecasts3_category.htm&type=5)

**Probability (D):** The probability field represents the likelihood of closing an Opportunity at a particular stage. It is expressed as a percentage. This is vital for weighted pipeline calculations, allowing sales teams to prioritize deals based on their potential to close. The Probability field also directly impacts forecasting accuracy by adjusting the revenue projections based on the likelihood of success.

**Type (E):** Opportunity Type provides an alternate method to segment and categorize your opportunity pipeline. For example, New Opportunity, Upsell, Downsell, etc. This helps in reporting and further analysis.

Quota (A) is related to sales representative performance targets and isn't a picklist value configuration for Opportunity Stages. Amount (C) is the deal size value, which is a field on the Opportunity record itself, not a stage configuration element.

In summary, setting up a new Opportunity Stage involves configuring its impact on forecasting and tracking the likelihood of success, hence the need for Forecast Category, Probability, and Type definitions. This ensures accurate reporting, effective pipeline management, and improved sales forecasting accuracy.

### Question: 36

Universal Containers uses a custom object called Candidates to track information about people who are being recruited for jobs within the company. Employees should be able to create a Candidate record; however, only HR users should be able to view, edit, and report on the Salary field.

What action should be recommended for controlling who can view the Salary field?

- A. Create and assign separate Candidate page layouts for general employee users and HR users.
- B. Restrict access to the "Salary" field for general employee users using field-level security.
- C. Create and assign separate Candidate record types for general employee users and HR users.
- D. Restrict access to the "Salary" field for general employee users using custom sharing settings.

**Answer: B**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is B: Restrict access to the "Salary" field for general employee users using field-level security (FLS).

Field-level security directly controls which users can see and edit specific fields on an object, regardless of the record type or page layout. This directly addresses the requirement of limiting access to the "Salary" field for non-HR employees. Implementing FLS on the Salary field prevents general employees from viewing, editing, or reporting on this sensitive data, fulfilling the security requirement. FLS settings are applied to user profiles or permission sets, making them easy to manage and maintain.

Option A, using separate page layouts, would only control the display of the Salary field. A user could still potentially access the field's value through reports, the API, or other means. It does not provide true security. Option C, using record types, helps categorize records and control page layouts, but does not restrict access to fields. Option D, custom sharing settings, is designed for controlling record-level access (who can see which records). This is not appropriate for controlling field-level access. FLS is designed for field-level security requirements.

Therefore, using field-level security is the most efficient and effective way to enforce the specified access restrictions. It offers the most direct method for controlling who can view and edit the Salary field for the Candidate object.

### Question: 37

Which two ways can an app builder grant object-level access to users? (Choose two.)

- A. Public Groups
- B. Permission Sets
- C. Roles
- D. Profiles

**Answer: BD**

#### Explanation:

The correct answer is B. Permission Sets and D. Profiles. Object-level security in Salesforce determines the objects a user can access and the level of access they have (read, create, edit, delete, view all, modify all).

Profiles are fundamental in Salesforce security. Every user is assigned one profile, and it defines the baseline object-level and field-level security, along with application settings and system permissions. A profile provides a foundational set of permissions, defining what a user can do. You can grant object-level access (CRUD permissions) directly through a user's profile.

Permission Sets, on the other hand, are used to extend a user's access without changing their profile. They grant additional permissions beyond what's included in their profile. Think of them as supplements to the baseline access defined by the profile. They are particularly useful for granting specific permissions to a group of users without needing to create multiple profiles.

While Roles (C) influence record access through role hierarchy-based sharing, they don't directly grant object-level permissions. Public Groups (A) are primarily used for simplifying sharing rules and aren't related to setting object-level access. They control record visibility, not access to the object itself. Sharing rules control which records a user can see, based on criteria and ownership, after the profile and permission sets have defined their object access. Therefore, Public Groups only affect visibility of data within objects that the user already has access to via their profile or permission sets.

Here are some helpful links for more information:

**Profiles Overview:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.users\\_profiles\\_overview.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.users_profiles_overview.htm&type=5) **Permission Sets Overview:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.perm\\_sets\\_overview.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.perm_sets_overview.htm&type=5) **Controlling Access to Objects:** [https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/data\\_security/data\\_security\\_object\\_access](https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/data_security/data_security_object_access)

### Question: 38

The CFO wants to make sure that a deal with more than a 40% discount gets approved by the VP of Finance before a quote is sent to the customer.

In which two ways can this be accomplished? (Choose two.)

- A. Create a new approval process that has automatic submission enabled in the entry criteria.
- B. Launch a flow that uses the submit for approval action to submit deals for approval.
- C. Launch a new approval process that has automatic submission enabled as an initial submission action.
- D. Create a new process with a submit for approval action to automatically submit deals for approval.

**Answer: BD**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is BD because they represent the most flexible and robust ways to handle deal approvals based on a discount percentage in Salesforce.

**B. Launch a flow that uses the submit for approval action to submit deals for approval:** Flows offer a powerful automation engine. They can react to various triggers (like a record update with a discount exceeding 40%) and execute complex logic. Crucially, a flow can use the "Submit for Approval" action. This gives administrators fine-grained control over when and how a record is submitted for approval. The flow can evaluate the discount percentage in real-time and then initiate the approval process only when the condition is met. It also provides the capability to incorporate other factors into the decision to submit, making it more dynamic than simply relying on entry criteria.

**D. Create a new process with a submit for approval action to automatically submit deals for approval:** Processes, created using Process Builder (although now less emphasized than flows), allows automation upon record changes. Like flows, processes can be configured to automatically evaluate a discount percentage. If the percentage exceeds 40%, a process can then trigger a "Submit for Approval" action. This automatically launches the defined approval process, sending it through the defined steps until completion, with finance VPs notified when required. This method offers automatic submission based on a rule.

Options A and C are less desirable because approval processes generally launch manually or based on entry criteria that are evaluated only once when the record is created or first enters a state. There isn't a way to dynamically re-evaluate entry criteria if the discount changes later. If the discount initially is less than 40%, the process wouldn't be initiated. Then, if it's later changed to above 40%, no approval will automatically be requested since initial entry criteria has already been evaluated. Approval processes are not inherently designed to monitor field changes and resubmit dynamically based on value alterations, making flows and processes more appropriate in this scenario.

**Salesforce Flow Documentation:**<https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.flow.htm&type=5>

**Salesforce Process Builder Documentation:**[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.process\\_limits.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.process_limits.htm&type=5)

[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.approvals\\_about.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.approvals_about.htm&type=5)

**Salesforce Approval Processes Documentation:**[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.approvals\\_about.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.approvals_about.htm&type=5)

[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.approvals\\_about.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.approvals_about.htm&type=5)

**Question: 39**

What feature can an app builder use to automatically assign cases that have been open longer than three days to the next support tier?

- A. Case Assignment Rules
- B. Case Escalation Rules
- C. Case Business Rules
- D. Case Auto Response Rules

**Answer: B**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is B: Case Escalation Rules.

Case Escalation Rules are specifically designed to automate actions when cases meet certain criteria and haven't been resolved within a defined timeframe. In this scenario, the app builder needs to reassign cases open for more than three days. Escalation rules allow setting criteria (e.g., Case Age > 3 days) and actions

(e.g., reassign to another queue or user, send a notification). They essentially monitor cases and trigger an automatic "escalation" to a higher support tier based on pre-defined conditions and timing.

Case Assignment Rules route new cases based on criteria, not on how long a case has been open. They decide who initially owns a case. [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize\\_support\\_assign.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize_support_assign.htm&type=5)

Case Business Rules aren't a standard Salesforce feature. The closest equivalent would be Validation Rules or Workflow Rules. Validation rules prevent incorrect data from being saved, while Workflow Rules automate tasks based on record changes, but are generally less suitable for time-based escalations than Escalation Rules.

Case Auto-Response Rules automatically send email replies to customers who submit cases.

[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize\\_support\\_auto\\_response.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize_support_auto_response.htm&type=5) They address the customer, not the internal support process of reassignment after a time threshold.

Therefore, Escalation Rules are the only option that directly addresses the requirement of automatically reassigning cases based on their age. They provide the necessary time-based triggers and assignment actions.

#### Question: 40

A custom field on an account is used to track finance information about a customer. Only members of the Finance Team have access to this field. However, the business wants to allow one customer service agent, who is assigned the customer service profile, read-only access to this field for special circumstances.

What is the recommended solution to grant the customer service agent access to the field?

- A. Update the Customer Service Profile already assigned to the agent to allow for read-only access to the field via Field Level Security.
- B. Create a permission set that allows read-only access to the field via Field Level Security and assign it to the agent.
- C. Create a new profile to allow for read-only access to the field via Field Level Security and assign it to the agent.
- D. Update the custom field's Field Level Security in setup to allow the agent read-only access to the field.

**Answer: B**

**Explanation:**

Here's a detailed justification for why option B is the recommended solution, focusing on Salesforce best practices and cloud computing principles:

Option B, creating a permission set, is the best approach due to its flexibility and adherence to the principle of least privilege. Permission sets are designed to grant incremental access rights to users without modifying their base profile. This aligns perfectly with the requirement of granting a single customer service agent read-only access to a specific field, without impacting other agents with the same profile.

Modifying the Customer Service Profile (option A) affects all users assigned to that profile. This is undesirable as it violates the principle of least privilege by granting access to users who do not need it. It also makes the profile less clearly defined and harder to manage.

Creating a new profile (option C) for a single user is inefficient and unnecessarily complicates profile management. Profiles are designed for broad user categories, not individual exceptions. Maintaining multiple profiles with minor variations introduces unnecessary administrative overhead.

Updating the custom field's Field Level Security (FLS) directly (option D) is not a viable solution as it lacks the

granularity to target a specific user. FLS within a profile controls access for all users of that profile, making this option equivalent to option A and subject to the same drawbacks.

Permission sets offer a modular and scalable solution for granting specific privileges. They allow administrators to grant access based on individual needs without altering established user profiles. This approach is a key Salesforce best practice for security and manageability. The idea behind permission sets aligns with many cloud computing strategies by optimizing access control. With them, you only grant privileges to those who require them. It minimizes any chance of unauthorized access to sensitive data by an individual who doesn't require those privileges for their particular task.

Using Permission sets allows organizations to be more agile and scalable in how they deal with the needs and requirements of its employees and user base. As user requirements change and grow, administrators can more efficiently grant or remove permissions as required.

For further research, consult the official Salesforce documentation on Permission Sets:

[Salesforce Help: Permission Sets](#)  
[Trailhead: Permission Set Groups](#)

### Question: 41

Universal Containers has created the custom objects Candidate and Interview in Salesforce to track candidates and interviews respectively. The company wants to track the total number of interviews a candidate has gone through on the candidate record without writing any code.

Which two actions should an app builder take to accomplish this requirement? (Choose two.)

- A. Use a formula field on the Candidate record to show the total number of interviews.
- B. Use a roll-up summary field on the Candidate record to show the total number of interviews.
- C. Use a master-detail relationship between the Candidate and Interview objects.
- D. Use a lookup relationship between the Candidate and Interview objects.

**Answer: BC**

**Explanation:**

Here's a detailed justification for why options B and C are the correct answers, and why options A and D are incorrect:

**Correct Options:**

**B. Use a roll-up summary field on the Candidate record to show the total number of interviews.** Roll-up summary fields are designed specifically to aggregate data from child objects to a parent object in a master-detail relationship. In this scenario, if the Interview object is a child of the Candidate object, a roll-up summary field on the Candidate record can count the number of related Interview records, thus displaying the total number of interviews for each candidate. This directly fulfills the requirement without any code.

**C. Use a master-detail relationship between the Candidate and Interview objects.** To use a roll-up summary field, a master-detail relationship must exist between the parent and child objects. The master-detail relationship provides the necessary tightly coupled connection for the roll-up summary to function. The Candidate object would be the "master" and the Interview object the "detail." This relationship also ensures that if a candidate record is deleted, all related interview records are also deleted (or reparented), maintaining data integrity.

**Incorrect Options:**

**A. Use a formula field on the Candidate record to show the total number of interviews.** Formula fields can

display data based on other fields on the same record or related records. However, formula fields cannot directly count the number of related records. While a formula could retrieve data from one related record, it cannot aggregate values across multiple child records. This option would not effectively count all the interview records related to a candidate without code.

**D. Use a lookup relationship between the Candidate and Interview objects.** A lookup relationship creates a looser association between objects. While you can create related records, a lookup relationship does not support roll-up summary fields. Lookup relationships are less restrictive, but also less capable of performing aggregate calculations without custom code.

**In summary:** The combination of a master-detail relationship (C) and a roll-up summary field (B) is the only code-free way to aggregate data (the interview count) from child records (Interviews) to a parent record (Candidate). This solution leverages Salesforce's declarative capabilities to fulfill the specified requirement efficiently.

#### Authoritative Links:

**Roll-Up Summary Fields:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.fields\\_about\\_roll\\_up\\_summary\\_fields.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.fields_about_roll_up_summary_fields.htm&type=5)

**Relationships Between Objects:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.relationships\\_considerations.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.relationships_considerations.htm&type=5)

**Relationships Between Objects:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.relationships\\_considerations.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.relationships_considerations.htm&type=5)

### Question: 42

Universal Containers has deployed custom tabs to Production via change sets, without including the profile settings. What statement is true about the visibility of custom tabs in Enterprise Edition?

- A. Custom tabs are not deployed.
- B. Custom tabs are default on for all users.
- C. Custom tabs are not hidden for all users.
- D. Custom tabs are default off for all users.

**Answer: D**

#### Explanation:

The correct answer is **D. Custom tabs are default off for all users.**

Here's a detailed justification:

When custom tabs are deployed to a Salesforce Production environment (specifically Enterprise Edition or higher) via Change Sets without including profile settings, the default visibility of those tabs is set to "Default Off" for all profiles. This behavior stems from Salesforce's security model and its commitment to least privilege. In other words, users are not automatically granted access to new features or functionality.

The absence of profile settings in the deployment package forces Salesforce to apply a baseline visibility setting to ensure that existing user experiences aren't disrupted unintentionally. Salesforce defaults to "Default Off" because it is the least disruptive approach. This means that the tabs are not visible to users until an administrator explicitly modifies the profile or permission set settings to make them visible.

Why not the other options?

**A. Custom tabs are not deployed:** The tabs are deployed, just not with specific profile visibility settings. They exist within the organization.

**B. Custom tabs are default on for all users:** This is incorrect and could be a security risk. Salesforce does not



grant blanket access to new functionality without explicit configuration.

**C. Custom tabs are not hidden for all users:** This is incorrect, as the tabs are hidden by default due to them being deployed without profile settings. They will not automatically be visible to any user.

To make these tabs visible, an administrator must go to the appropriate profile or permission set configuration page and change the Tab Settings for each tab from "Default Off" to either "Default On" or "Tab Hidden". This is critical for ensuring users can access and use the newly deployed functionality. The choice between "Default On" or "Tab Hidden" depends on the role and responsibilities of users assigned to that profile or permission set.

Therefore, the deployment process lacking profile settings results in a deliberate state of "Default Off" visibility for the deployed custom tabs. This behavior encourages a controlled, explicit grant of access.

#### Authoritative Links:

Salesforce Help: [Profiles Overview](#)

Salesforce Help: [Change Sets](#)

Salesforce Help: [Tab Settings](#)

#### Question: 43

Which two report formats can be used as a source report to configure a reporting snapshot? (Choose two.)

- A.Summary format
- B.Joined format
- C.Matrix format
- D.Tabular format

**Answer: AD**

#### Explanation:

The correct answer is A. Summary format and D. Tabular format.

Reporting snapshots in Salesforce require a source report to capture data at a specific point in time and store it in a custom object. This data is then used for historical reporting and trend analysis. However, not all report formats are suitable for this purpose.

Joined and Matrix report formats (options B and C), while powerful for data analysis and cross-tabulation, are not supported as source reports for reporting snapshots due to their complex structures. Joined reports combine data from multiple report types, making it difficult to consistently map fields to the target custom object. Similarly, Matrix reports summarize data in a grid format, which does not lend itself well to the flat, record-oriented structure required by the target custom object.

Tabular and Summary reports (options D and A), on the other hand, provide data in a more straightforward, record-oriented format. Tabular reports simply list data records, while Summary reports group records based on specific fields and include summary calculations. These formats are easily mapped to the fields in the target custom object, making them ideal source report types for reporting snapshots. The summary report offers an additional advantage of aggregating data, which can be helpful in capturing key performance indicators at regular intervals.

In essence, reporting snapshots need a simple, predictable data structure to function correctly, and Tabular and Summary reports provide that simplicity. More complex report structures like Joined or Matrix reports introduce complexities that the reporting snapshot mechanism cannot reliably handle. Therefore, Salesforce only allows the simpler Tabular and Summary report formats as the basis for creating these snapshots.

Further reading:

Reporting Snapshots  
Report Types

#### Question: 44

Which two should be considered when creating unmanaged packages? (Choose two.)

- A. Deploying from a Developer Edition environment.
- B. Publishing an application for sale on the AppExchange.
- C. Distributing upgradeable components to other Salesforce orgs.
- D. Distributing open-source projects on the AppExchange.

**Answer: AD**

#### Explanation:

The correct answer is AD because unmanaged packages have specific characteristics and limitations that directly relate to their intended use cases.

**A. Deploying from a Developer Edition environment:** Developer Edition orgs are ideal environments for developing and testing unmanaged packages. These orgs are free, fully functional Salesforce environments suitable for experimentation and creating components for distribution. Unmanaged packages can be easily built and downloaded from a Developer Edition for subsequent deployment to other orgs.

**D. Distributing open-source projects on the AppExchange:** Unmanaged packages are perfectly suited for distributing open-source Salesforce components. Since the recipient organization can fully customize and modify the components within an unmanaged package, it promotes the open-source ethos of collaboration and adaptation. The code is exposed and can be tailored to specific needs without restriction.

Conversely, B is incorrect because unmanaged packages are generally not appropriate for selling applications. Selling typically requires managed packages, which offer features like intellectual property protection and upgrade capabilities. C is incorrect because unmanaged packages cannot be upgraded. Once installed, any changes must be made directly in the receiving org.

In summary, unmanaged packages are well-suited for free, open-source distribution and deployment from developer environments because of their lack of upgradeability and open accessibility to the code. These characteristics make them ideal for sharing code and components without the complexities and restrictions of managed packages.

Supporting links:

Salesforce Packaging Types: [https://developer.salesforce.com/docs/atlas.en-us.packagingGuide.meta/packagingGuide/packaging\\_package\\_types.htm](https://developer.salesforce.com/docs/atlas.en-us.packagingGuide.meta/packagingGuide/packaging_package_types.htm)

Unmanaged Packages: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.packaging\\_unmanaged.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.packaging_unmanaged.htm&type=5)

#### Question: 45

Universal Containers is setting up Salesforce for the first time. Management wants the sales and marketing teams to have different navigation menus in the Salesforce Mobile App.

What option is available to an app builder to satisfy this requirement?



- A. Create sales and marketing profiles and ensure read access to different objects.
- B. Create mobile navigation menus for both the sales and marketing profiles.
- C. Create public groups for sales and marketing and create mobile navigation menus for each group.
- D. Create roles for sales and marketing and assign a custom homepage layout for each role.

**Answer: A**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is **A. Create sales and marketing profiles and ensure read access to different objects.**

Here's why:

Salesforce Mobile App navigation can be customized based on user profiles. By creating distinct profiles for Sales and Marketing teams, an App Builder gains the ability to tailor the app experience specifically to each group's needs. The mobile navigation menu's visibility and content are controlled by the objects a user has access to, and object access is primarily governed by profiles.

Option A leverages this core principle. By controlling object read access at the profile level, the App Builder indirectly influences which objects appear in the navigation menu for each team. This ensures that Sales users see modules relevant to their tasks (like Opportunities, Leads), while Marketing users see modules relevant to their activities (like Campaigns, Marketing Cloud).

Options B, C, and D are incorrect:

**B:** Creating mobile navigation menus for profiles is not directly available in the Salesforce mobile app setup.

**C:** Public groups are used for sharing and team collaboration, not for customizing the mobile app navigation experience based on job functions.

**D:** While roles can influence what data users see, they don't directly control mobile app navigation. Custom homepage layouts also do not customize the mobile app.

For further research, refer to Salesforce's documentation on Profiles and Permissions, as well as Mobile App Configuration.

[Profiles Overview](#)  
[Salesforce Mobile App Customization](#)

#### Question: 46

An app builder wants to show Groups as the last navigation menu item in the Salesforce Mobile App. However, the app builder is not able to select Groups as one of the items on the drop-down menu.

What could cause this?

- A. Groups is showing up in the recent section and not in the navigation menu.
- B. Groups cannot be the last item in the navigation menu.
- C. Groups is included in the Smart Search items but not on the navigation menu.
- D. Groups is not included in the selected list for the navigation menu.

**Answer: D**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is **D. Groups is not included in the selected list for the navigation menu.** Here's a detailed justification:

The Salesforce Mobile App navigation menu is customizable, allowing administrators or app builders to specify which objects and features are easily accessible to users. The options available in the navigation menu are determined by the "selected list" of items. This list is configurable within the Salesforce Setup.

If the app builder is unable to select "Groups" from the dropdown menu for navigation items, it indicates that "Groups" has not been added to the initial pool of selectable items for the mobile app navigation. This configuration is done in the "Salesforce Mobile Navigation" setup page. The available items listed on the setup page are what Salesforce offers as navigation items and is based on licensing and other configuration settings.

Option A is incorrect because the recent section doesn't influence the items appearing in the dropdown selection for navigation menu customization. The recent section is populated automatically based on a user's recently accessed items, and those items are selected by the user rather than by an admin.

Option B is incorrect. While there may be limitations on specific types of items appearing at certain positions in some Salesforce configurations, this is not a general rule preventing "Groups" from being the last item.

Option C is not the primary reason. Smart Search indexes items for quicker search functionality, which doesn't directly affect whether an object is available for selection within the mobile app's navigation menu. Even if an item is searchable, it must be explicitly included in the selected list to be available for the navigation menu.

In summary, the app builder cannot add "Groups" to the navigation menu because it isn't first included within the "selected list" of items available for selection within the Salesforce Mobile Navigation setup page. This is a direct configuration requirement of Salesforce mobile app navigation setup.

#### Supporting Documentation:

**Salesforce Help: Customize the Mobile App Navigation Menu:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.salesforce\\_app\\_customize\\_navigation.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.salesforce_app_customize_navigation.htm&type=5)

#### Question: 47

Universal Containers wants to automate a business process using workflow. They are aware that workflow rules may cause recursive behavior, and as a result certain actions will only cause workflow rules that didn't fire previously to be retriggered.

What workflow action might cause this behavior? (Choose two.)

- A. Workflow Field updates with the "Re-evaluate Workflow Rules After Field Change" field selected.
- B. Workflow Tasks where the "Due Date" field is set to "Rule Trigger Date" minus X Days.
- C. Workflow Outbound Messages with the "Protected Component" field selected.
- D. Workflow E-mails containing hard-coded links with Salesforce IDs referencing specific workflow rules.

**Answer: AB**

#### Explanation:

Let's break down why options A and B are the correct answers and why C and D are incorrect. The core principle here is understanding how workflow rules trigger each other and the potential for recursion, which Salesforce tries to mitigate.

#### A. Workflow Field updates with the "Re-evaluate Workflow Rules After Field Change" field selected.

This is a primary cause of recursive workflow behavior. When a field is updated by a workflow rule and this option is enabled, the system re-evaluates all workflow rules on the object. This means that if another workflow rule's criteria are now met due to this field update, it will fire, even if it has fired before. If that second workflow rule also updates a field with the "Re-evaluate Workflow Rules" option enabled, and that

update then causes the first workflow to fire again, you get a recursive loop. Salesforce has safeguards to prevent infinite loops, but the behavior of re-evaluation is key to understanding the problem. This option is specifically designed to trigger other workflow rules based on the updated field's new value.

[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.workflow\\_field\\_update\\_considerations.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.workflow_field_update_considerations.htm&type=5) directly addresses the re-evaluation of workflow rules.

#### **B. Workflow Tasks where the "Due Date" field is set to "Rule Trigger Date" minus X Days.**

While seemingly innocuous, setting a task's due date relative to the rule trigger date can indirectly cause a workflow to re-evaluate. Suppose a field update on a task completion triggers a workflow on the related parent object. If the completion of a task, whose due date was dynamically calculated based on another rule's trigger date, causes a field update that would, in turn, reactivate the original workflow, this setup might indirectly contribute to recursion-like behavior. This is less direct than a field update directly re-evaluating workflows, but the sequence of events following the dynamic due date calculation can trigger other processes which could lead to a new field being updated and workflow being re-evaluated.

#### **C. Workflow Outbound Messages with the "Protected Component" field selected.**

"Protected Component" field on an outbound message does not affect how other workflow rules are triggered. This field determines whether the outbound message can be deployed in managed packages. It's related to packaging and distribution, not the triggering logic of workflow rules. It doesn't influence the re-evaluation of rules.

#### **D. Workflow E-mails containing hard-coded links with Salesforce IDs referencing specific workflow rules.**

Hard-coded links in emails also do not directly cause other workflow rules to re-evaluate. While a user clicking on a link and updating a record could trigger workflow rules, the existence of the hard-coded link itself doesn't automatically retrigger any workflow. The link is a passive element; it's the subsequent action taken by a user (or a system process) after following the link that could potentially trigger a rule. The Salesforce ID referencing the workflow rule itself does not change the firing rules.

In summary, only directly re-evaluating rules through the "Re-evaluate Workflow Rules After Field Change" option (A) or creating indirect dependencies and chains of events through task creation with dynamically set due dates (B) can contribute to recursion-like behavior where rules are re-evaluated. C and D do not have mechanisms or properties that would cause workflow rules to fire that hadn't fired previously to be retriggered.

#### **Question: 48**

The VP of Sales at Universal Containers wants to have a set of screens to guide the inside sales team through collecting and updating data for leads.

How can the app builder accomplish this?

- A.Workflow
- B.Process Builder
- C.Salesforce Connect
- D.Flow

**Answer: D**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is **D. Flow**.

Here's a detailed justification:

The requirement is to create a guided, multi-screen experience for data collection and updates. This interactive aspect is not adequately addressed by the other options.

**A. Workflow:** Workflows primarily automate behind-the-scenes tasks like field updates, email alerts, or task creation based on record changes. They don't offer interactive screens for user input.

**B. Process Builder:** Similar to Workflows, Process Builder automates business processes based on specific criteria. While it's more powerful than Workflows, it still primarily operates in the background and cannot create guided, multi-step user interfaces. It can launch a Flow, but by itself it cannot fulfill the complete requirement.

**C. Salesforce Connect:** Salesforce Connect allows you to access data stored in external systems as if it were natively within Salesforce. This is relevant for integrating external data but does not address the need for creating a user-friendly interface for data collection and update.

**D. Flow:** Flows are the ideal tool for building guided experiences with multiple screens. They allow you to design interactive forms, present information, collect user input, and update records accordingly. They are designed specifically for creating guided paths and user interactions. The App Builder can design a screen flow that presents a series of screens to the inside sales team, guiding them through the process of collecting and updating lead information.

In essence, Flows offer the capability to build a custom user interface that leads the sales team through each step of the data entry and modification process, fulfilling the VP of Sales' request for a guided experience.

Here are authoritative links for further research:

Salesforce Flows: [https://trailhead.salesforce.com/en/content/learn/modules/business\\_process\\_automation](https://trailhead.salesforce.com/en/content/learn/modules/business_process_automation) Types of Salesforce Flows: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.flow\\_concepts\\_types.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.flow_concepts_types.htm&type=5)

#### Question: 49

The Director of Marketing has asked the app builder to create a formula field that tracks how many days have elapsed since a contact was sent a marketing communication. The director is only interested in whole units. What function should be used to return today's date for calculating the difference?

- A.DATE()
- B.NOW()
- C.TODAY()
- D.DATEVALUE()

**Answer: C**

**Explanation:**

Here's a detailed justification for why TODAY() is the correct function to use in the described Salesforce formula field scenario, along with supporting links:

The problem asks for the number of whole days that have elapsed since a marketing communication was sent. This emphasizes that we only need the date component, not the time. TODAY() is the correct function because it returns the current date as a date data type, specifically at midnight of the user's time zone. This aligns perfectly with calculating the difference in whole days.

Let's analyze why the other options are not suitable:

**DATE():** This function is used to create a date from year, month, and day parameters. It doesn't return the current date; instead, it requires specific inputs to build a date value. It's not useful for dynamically obtaining

today's date.

**NOW():** This function returns the current date and time, including hours, minutes, and seconds. While NOW() could technically be used, subtracting a date from a datetime and truncating would be more complex than simply using TODAY(). Using NOW() introduces an unnecessary time component that must be managed, which complicates the formula and potentially affects the desired whole-day calculation, especially around time zone boundaries. The question specifies that only whole units (days) are of concern.

**DATEVALUE():** This function converts a date/time or text value into a date. It does not return the current date. It's used when you need to extract just the date portion from a datetime field or parse a date from a text string.

Therefore, TODAY() offers the most straightforward and efficient approach to calculate the elapsed number of whole days since a marketing communication was sent. It's also less prone to errors that might be introduced by time zone differences when using NOW() and subsequently attempting to truncate the time portion. The use of TODAY() is less resource-intensive than using NOW() because it retrieves less information.

In summary, the requirement for whole days, the need for a dynamic representation of the current date, and the efficiency of the solution all point to TODAY() as the best choice.

Supporting Links:

**Salesforce Formula Operators and Functions:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.formula\\_operators\\_functions.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.formula_operators_functions.htm&type=5)

**TODAY() function documentation:** Search for TODAY() on the above link. The documentation explicitly describes it as returning the current date.

**NOW() function documentation:** Search for NOW() on the above link. The documentation explicitly describes it as returning the current date and time.

### Question: 50

Which of the following are types of developer sandboxes environment types in Salesforce? (Choose four.)

- A. Developer
- B. Developer Pro
- C. Partial Copy
- D. Full Sandbox
- E. Partial Sandbox
- F. Full Copy

**Answer: ABCD**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer identifies the four primary types of developer sandboxes in Salesforce. Let's break down why each option is accurate and why the others are not:

**A. Developer Sandbox:** This is a basic environment intended for coding and testing new features and customizations. Each developer typically has their own. Developer sandboxes have limited storage and data capacity, suitable for small-scale development efforts.

**B. Developer Pro Sandbox:** This is an enhanced version of the Developer sandbox, offering more storage and the ability to handle larger data sets. This is useful for developers working on features that require more extensive testing with sample data.

**C. Partial Copy Sandbox:** This sandbox type is designed for quality assurance, user acceptance testing, and integration testing. It contains a sample of your organization's data and metadata (configuration). The sample size is smaller than a full copy but bigger than what a Developer Sandbox would accommodate.

**D. Full Sandbox:** This is a complete replica of your production environment, including all data, metadata, and configurations. This is used primarily for comprehensive regression testing, performance testing, and staging prior to deploying changes to production.

**E. Partial Sandbox:** This is almost the same as the 'Partial Copy Sandbox.' So, C and E will be the same.

**F. Full Copy:** It is essentially a synonym for a Full Sandbox.

Therefore, A, B, C, and D are the established names for Salesforce developer sandbox types, each catering to different stages and scales of development and testing.

#### Authoritative Links for Further Research:

**Salesforce Sandboxes Overview:**[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.data_sandbox_environments.htm&type=5)

[id=sf.data\\_sandbox\\_environments.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.data_sandbox_environments.htm&type=5)

**Sandbox Types and Features:**[https://developer.salesforce.com/docs/atlas.en-us.sandboxes.meta/sandboxes/data\\_sandbox.htm](https://developer.salesforce.com/docs/atlas.en-us.sandboxes.meta/sandboxes/data_sandbox.htm)

### Question: 51

Universal Containers requires e-mails to be sent to additional recipients when a workflow e-mail alert is triggered from the case object.

Which two field types need to be added to the case object to allow additional recipients on the e-mail alert? (Choose two.)

- A. Text field
- B. E-mail field
- C. Lookup field
- D. Formula field

**Answer: BC**

#### Explanation:

The correct answer is B and C: Email field and Lookup field. Let's justify this.

When configuring workflow email alerts in Salesforce, the standard options for recipients include specific users, roles, related user fields (like the Case Owner), and email addresses associated with the triggering record. However, Universal Containers wants to dynamically specify additional recipients on a per-case basis. This requires custom fields on the Case object.

An **Email field (B)** is necessary to store individual email addresses directly on the Case record. A workflow rule can then reference this field in the email alert configuration. Using the field, it could dynamically send emails to a specifically listed email address for each case. Salesforce will validate that the entered value is in a valid email format.

A **Lookup field (C)** is used to create a relationship with another Salesforce object, such as a Contact or User. This provides a way to link relevant people to the Case. When defining an email alert, the lookup field can be specified as an email recipient. The email address stored on the looked-up record (Contact or User) is then used as the recipient address. This is useful for easily referencing contacts or users who may vary depending on each case.

A Text field (A) could hold an email address but does not enforce email format validation, making it less reliable for ensuring valid email recipients. A Formula field (D) calculates a value and cannot store data that a user manually inputs. It could derive an email address based on other fields but wouldn't allow for direct specification of additional recipients.

Therefore, the email and lookup field, when configured appropriately, would allow you to add email addresses and or link to another record which contains email addresses which can be configured as email alert recipients.

Supporting Resources:

Salesforce Help: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.workflow\\_emailalerts.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.workflow_emailalerts.htm&type=5)

Salesforce Help: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.fields\\_about\\_fields.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.fields_about_fields.htm&type=5)

### Question: 52

What is a user case for validation rules?

- A.Ensure Zip/Postal Codes are entered in the correct format.
- B.Restrict partner Lead visibility to the channel sales team.
- C.Prevent deals with less than a 10% discount from entering an approval process.
- D.Prevent non-managers from joining a private Chatter Group.

**Answer: A**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is A because validation rules are designed to enforce data quality and consistency at the record level. Option A, ensuring Zip/Postal Codes are entered in the correct format, directly aligns with this purpose. Validation rules allow administrators to define criteria that data must meet before a record can be saved. They can use formulas to check the format of a field (like a Zip/Postal Code) and prevent the record from being saved if the format is incorrect. This maintains data integrity and reduces errors. Regular expressions or formula functions can be used to define the acceptable format.

Option B, restricting partner Lead visibility, is typically achieved through sharing rules or permission sets, controlling access to records based on user roles or groups, not validation rules. Option C, preventing deals with less than a 10% discount from entering an approval process, is best handled by approval process entry criteria or workflow rules, which trigger actions based on specific conditions. Option D, preventing non-managers from joining a private Chatter Group, is managed through Chatter Group settings and membership policies, not validation rules on other objects.

Validation rules are specifically intended to ensure data accuracy and validity upon record creation or modification, making format checking the ideal use case here. They provide real-time feedback to users, guiding them to enter data correctly.

For more information, refer to the Salesforce documentation on validation rules:

[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize\\_valrules.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize_valrules.htm&type=5).

### Question: 53

Which two options are available to an app builder when defining an object-specific Create Record custom action? (Choose two.)



- A.Allowing the end user to choose the record type.
- B.Specifying the fields and layout of the action.
- C.Redirecting the end user to the detail page of the target object.
- D.Pre-defining field values on the target object.

**Answer: BD**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer highlights the flexibility offered when creating object-specific Create Record custom actions in Salesforce.

Option B, "Specifying the fields and layout of the action," is correct because App Builders can tailor the creation form presented to users. This includes selecting which fields are visible, marking them as required, and arranging their order within the layout, providing a simplified and focused user experience.

Option D, "Pre-defining field values on the target object," is also correct. This powerful capability allows App Builders to automatically populate certain fields with predetermined values upon record creation. This streamlines data entry, ensures consistency, and can enforce business rules by setting default values or derived values based on the context from which the action is initiated. For example, an action creating a "Case" from an "Account" could pre-populate the "Account Name" field on the new Case record.

Option A, "Allowing the end user to choose the record type," is incorrect. While record type selection is important, it's typically defined at the page layout level or enforced through other mechanisms and not directly configurable within the custom action definition itself for Create Record actions.

Option C, "Redirecting the end user to the detail page of the target object," is incorrect because while Salesforce does provide the option to redirect, it is not a configuration option available within defining an object-specific Create Record custom action.

In summary, object-specific Create Record custom actions empower app builders to customize the data entry experience and automate the population of field values, enhancing user productivity and data integrity.

Relevant links:

Salesforce Help - Actions and Buttons: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.custom\\_buttons.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.custom_buttons.htm&type=5)

Trailhead - Quick Start: Lightning App Builder:

[https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/lex\\_app\\_builder\\_basics/lex\\_app\\_builder\\_basics\\_create\\_pa](https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/lex_app_builder_basics/lex_app_builder_basics_create_pa)

**Question: 54**

At Universal Containers, the Account object has a Master-Detail relationship with an Invoice custom object. The app builder would like to change this to a lookup field, but is not able to do so.

What could be causing this?

- A.The Account is included in the workflow on the Invoice object.
- B.The Invoice must have at least one Master-Detail field for reporting.
- C.The Invoice records have existing values in the Account.
- D.The Account record includes Invoice roll-up summary fields.

**Answer: D**

**Explanation:**

The reason why an App Builder might be unable to convert a Master-Detail relationship on the Invoice object to a Lookup relationship with the Account object, despite wanting to, stems from dependencies created by roll-up summary fields. Roll-up summary fields are configured on the Master object (Account in this case) to aggregate data from the related Detail object (Invoice). If Account records contain roll-up summary fields that summarize data from Invoice records, Salesforce prevents converting the relationship to a Lookup because the roll-up summary fields would become invalid. A Lookup field doesn't guarantee a relationship; it's possible for an Invoice record to exist without being related to any Account.

Roll-up summary fields rely on the strict, guaranteed parent-child relationship provided by a Master-Detail relationship. This relationship ensures that every Invoice record must have a parent Account record, and it is guaranteed to have one before, during, and after creation. This constraint is essential for the reliable calculation of sums, averages, counts, and other aggregations performed by roll-up summary fields. If the relationship were converted to a Lookup, the roll-up summary fields would no longer function correctly because Invoice records could exist without being linked to an Account. This would break the aggregation logic and potentially lead to inaccurate data.

The other options are less likely to be the sole cause: workflows (option A) might need adjustment after the change, but aren't an absolute blocker beforehand; the number of Master-Detail relationships on Invoice (option B) is irrelevant to whether this particular relationship can be converted; and existing values (option C) can usually be resolved by re-parenting or deleting records, but roll-up summary fields create a structural dependency. Therefore, roll-up summary fields actively prevent the change.

For more detailed information on Master-Detail and Lookup relationships, and particularly the impact of Roll-up summary fields, you can refer to the official Salesforce documentation:

**Salesforce Help: Relationship Considerations:**[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.relationships\\_considerations.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.relationships_considerations.htm&type=5)

**Salesforce Help: Roll-Up Summary Fields:**[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.fields\\_about\\_roll\\_up\\_summary\\_fields.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.fields_about_roll_up_summary_fields.htm&type=5)

### Question: 55

Universal Containers needs to flag Leads with one or more business areas. They need to add a field to capture these to the Lead Record. There is no need to report on this field.

What is the appropriate field type?

- A. Radio Buttons (Multi-Select)
- B. Picklist
- C. Picklist (Multi-Select)
- D. Text Area

**Answer: C**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is **C. Picklist (Multi-Select)**. Here's a detailed justification:

The requirement is to capture one or more business areas associated with a Lead. This immediately eliminates single-select picklists (Option B) and radio buttons. Radio buttons (Option A) are suited when only one option can be selected from a limited set of choices, failing to accommodate multiple business areas.

A Multi-Select Picklist (Option C) allows users to select multiple values from a predefined list. This precisely matches the requirement to associate a Lead with one or more business areas. Importantly, Salesforce multi-select picklists store the selected values as a delimited string, efficiently handling the storage of multiple selections within a single field.

A Text Area (Option D), while allowing free-form input, would be inappropriate. It would introduce data inconsistencies due to potential variations in spelling, abbreviations, and wording. This makes reporting and data analysis significantly more difficult, directly contradicting the purpose of standardizing data entry. Since reporting on this field isn't needed, the reporting limitation of text fields isn't a strong factor in this case, but the inconsistency introduced by a free-form field remains a key problem.

Furthermore, using a multi-select picklist enforces data standardization, which is a best practice in data management. This ensures data consistency and makes it easier to segment and analyze leads in the future, even if explicit reporting is not currently required. While reporting isn't immediately necessary, having structured data from the outset enables future reporting should the need arise. In contrast, using a text area would lead to inconsistent data input and make any future analysis difficult. Finally, using a multi-select picklist also streamlines data entry, as users can simply select from predefined options rather than typing in free-form text.

For more information on picklist fields and their uses, refer to the Salesforce documentation: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.fields\\_about\\_fields.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.fields_about_fields.htm&type=5)

For Multi-Select Picklist fields: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.fields\\_using\\_picklist\\_field.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.fields_using_picklist_field.htm&type=5)

### Question: 56

Universal Containers has two types of customer support processes: Platinum and Diamond. The app builder created separate record types for each process on the Case object. The customer support team should not be able to create new cases with the Diamond record type. How should this requirement be met?

- A. Update the profile to remove the Diamond record type from the support team.
- B. Remove the ability for the support team to create new case records.
- C. Make the record type hidden to all users and then use sharing rules to share it.
- D. Update the organization-wide defaults to private.

**Answer: A**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is **A. Update the profile to remove the Diamond record type from the support team.**

Here's why:

Record types in Salesforce are used to offer different business processes, picklist values, and page layouts to different users based on their profiles. Profile-based record type assignment is the standard and most straightforward way to control which record types a user can access when creating new records. By removing the Diamond record type from the support team's profile, you directly prevent them from selecting it when creating a new case. This satisfies the requirement efficiently without impacting other aspects of the application.

Option B, removing the ability to create new case records, is too restrictive. The support team needs to create cases, just not with the Diamond record type.

Option C, making the record type hidden and using sharing rules, is unnecessarily complex. Sharing rules do not control record type visibility during creation; they control access to existing records. It is also a wrong implementation of Sharing rules.

Option D, updating the organization-wide defaults to private, affects access to existing records, not the

creation of new ones and again it is too restrictive. It would impact the support team's ability to view and work on cases which is not what we intend to change. Record type access control is designed to address the exact need of restricting record creation for a specific type of users.

[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize_functions.htm&type=5https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.sharing_understanding.htm&type=5https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/record_types/rec)

[id=sf.customize\\_functions.htm&type=5https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.sharing_understanding.htm&type=5https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/record_types/rec)

[id=sf.sharing\\_understanding.htm&type=5https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/record\\_types/rec](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.sharing_understanding.htm&type=5https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/record_types/rec)

### Question: 57

Universal Containers sells to three different types of organizations: Partner, Enterprise, and Small Business. Some of the information collected about each organization overlaps; however, there are also unique attributes to each type of organization that need to be tracked, some of which are required.

What solution meets these requirements?

- A. Three different sections on an Account page layout, which each section displaying the relevant fields for that organization.
- B. A Partner Community for partner organization records, and two lead record types for Enterprise and Small Business organizations.
- C. Three Account record types, each with its own page layout to display the relevant fields for that type of organization.
- D. Three custom objects for the three organization types, each with custom fields that pertain to that type of organization.

**Answer: C**

#### Explanation:

The correct answer is C: Three Account record types, each with its own page layout to display the relevant fields for that type of organization.

Here's a detailed justification:

Salesforce record types allow you to differentiate the data collection and presentation for different categories within the same object. In this case, although Universal Containers is working with Accounts, they categorize them into Partner, Enterprise, and Small Business. Each of these organization types has some overlapping data but also unique, sometimes required, attributes.

Record types enable defining different picklist values, page layouts, and business processes based on the organization type. This means you can have specific fields relevant to Partners displayed on their page layout and unique picklist values to represent Partner-specific information. Similarly, Enterprise and Small Business can have their own tailored layouts and picklists.

Option A, using sections on a single Account page layout, becomes unwieldy as the number of unique fields grows. It can lead to a cluttered page, confusion for users, and difficulty in enforcing required fields for specific organization types. It also doesn't allow for different business processes.

Option B is incorrect because Leads are generally used for potential customers before they become Accounts. While Partner Communities can be related to Accounts, the need to differentiate Enterprise and Small Business through Leads isn't logically tied to the stated requirement of tracking unique attributes within established customer relationships.

Option D, creating three custom objects, is also inefficient. It would duplicate the core Account functionality unnecessarily and create data silos. Reporting and cross-object relationships would become more complex. Since the data fundamentally represents the same thing (an account), keeping the data within one object is

crucial for maintainability and data integrity.

Record types allow for required fields at the page layout level to enforce data collection, so that unique and required data is collected for each organization. They integrate well with other Salesforce features like workflow rules, process builder, and validation rules to automate processes specific to each organization type.

In summary, using record types provides the best balance between data organization, user experience, data integrity, and Salesforce best practices for managing different types of accounts within a single object.

Reference Links:

Salesforce Record Types: <https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.recordtypes.htm&type=5> Page Layouts in Salesforce: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize\\_layout.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize_layout.htm&type=5)

### Question: 58

The marketing team at Universal Containers has a list of 400 leads it wants to upload to Salesforce. The team needs to avoid creating duplicate records.

Which two actions should be taken to meet this requirement? (Choose two.)

- A. Utilize a Lead Matching Rule and corresponding Duplicate Rule to block newly created duplicate leads.
- B. Use Data Loader's update function to import leads and match to existing records based on e-mail address.
- C. Enable Duplicate Matching in the Data Management section in Setup and activate the Lead-to-Lead scenario.
- D. Upload the lead list using the Import Wizard and select a Matching Type to prevent duplicate lead creation.

**Answer: AD**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is AD. Here's why:

**A. Utilize a Lead Matching Rule and corresponding Duplicate Rule to block newly created duplicate leads:**

Matching Rules and Duplicate Rules are Salesforce's native mechanism for proactively identifying and handling duplicate records. A Matching Rule defines the criteria for identifying potential duplicates (e.g., matching on name and company). A Duplicate Rule specifies the action to take when a duplicate is found (e.g., block the record from being created or alert the user). This is the most robust method for preventing duplicates during data entry and import because it's an automated system.

**D. Upload the lead list using the Import Wizard and select a Matching Type to prevent duplicate lead creation:**

The Import Wizard in Salesforce provides built-in duplicate detection capabilities during the import process. By selecting a Matching Type (e.g., based on email or a combination of fields), the wizard compares the imported data against existing records and identifies potential duplicates. This allows you to prevent the creation of duplicate records during the import process.

**Authoritative Link: Import Data:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.data\\_import.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.data_import.htm&type=5)

**Why B is incorrect:** While Data Loader can be used to update records, its primary function isn't duplicate prevention during the initial import. Using its update function requires a pre-existing ID to match, which is not suitable when creating new leads that may or may not be duplicates. It also relies on manual matching and preparation of a matching identifier.

**Why C is incorrect:** There's no specific "Duplicate Matching" section in Data Management in Salesforce Setup with a Lead-to-Lead scenario. Duplicate Management features are found within the "Duplicate Rules" section of setup. While duplicate rules can compare Leads to Leads, simply enabling a section won't provide the

granular matching criteria needed for a successful duplicate-free upload.

In summary, Matching and Duplicate Rules offer proactive, automated duplicate prevention, while the Import Wizard provides immediate duplicate detection during the upload process. These tools provide a robust solution when uploading large quantities of new Leads to Salesforce, ensuring data integrity and avoiding duplicate records.

### Question: 59

Which two will prevent a formula field from being referenced by a Roll-Up Summary field? (Choose two.)

- A.A cross-object workflow updating a field referenced by the formula field.
- B.The CASE() function in the formula field.
- C.The NOW() function in the formula field
- D.A cross-object field reference in the formula field.

**Answer: CD**

#### Explanation:

Let's break down why options C and D prevent a formula field from being used in a Roll-Up Summary field, and why A and B do not.

**Roll-Up Summary Fields:** These fields aggregate data from child records to a parent record. They are powerful but have limitations regarding the types of fields they can reference. Roll-Up Summary fields rely on a deterministic and static calculation. They must be predictable and not change without a deliberate action.

**Option C: The NOW() Function:** The NOW() function returns the current date and time. Because this value is constantly changing, it's considered non-deterministic. Roll-Up Summary fields cannot function correctly with constantly changing values because it violates their need for static, calculated results. Including NOW() in a formula would mean that the summarized value would potentially change at any moment, without any underlying change in the child records. This leads to unpredictable and unreliable summaries, which is not acceptable for rollup functionality.

**Option D: Cross-Object Field References:** Roll-Up Summary fields can only aggregate data within a direct parent-child relationship. A cross-object field reference in a formula field means the formula is pulling data from an object outside of that direct relationship. This adds complexity and potential ambiguity to the rollup calculation. Salesforce's Roll-Up Summary fields are designed for simplicity and efficiency, and direct parent-child relationships are a core requirement to maintain this simplicity and predictability. A cross-object reference breaks this simplicity.

#### Why A and B are incorrect:

**Option A: Cross-Object Workflow Updating a Field Referenced by the Formula Field:** While cross-object workflows can introduce complexity, the problem here isn't the workflow itself, but rather how the rollup calculation changes. As long as the change to the referenced field is itself a predictable update, it's not an issue. The workflow could, for instance, be updating a field based on another static value. The crux of the matter is whether the base fields being used in the rollup are, themselves, static.

**Option B: The CASE() Function in the Formula Field:** The CASE() function, by itself, does not prevent a formula field from being used in a Roll-Up Summary field. CASE() is a conditional statement, but as long as the conditions and the resulting values are based on static or predictable fields within the direct parent-child relationship, the CASE() function is perfectly valid.



In essence, the crucial factor is whether the formula field calculation is based on values that are considered static and part of the direct relationship between the parent and child objects. The NOW() function and cross-object references introduce volatility and complexity that make them incompatible with the requirements of Roll-Up Summary fields.

#### Authoritative Links:

**Roll-Up Summary Fields Limitations:**[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.fields\\_about\\_roll\\_up\\_summary\\_fields.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.fields_about_roll_up_summary_fields.htm&type=5)

**Formula Field Considerations:** (While this doesn't explicitly state the NOW() limitation, it alludes to considerations for using formula fields) [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.useful\\_formula\\_fields\\_dates.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.useful_formula_fields_dates.htm&type=5)

#### Question: 60

Universal Containers is rolling out a new opportunity review process. Regional managers will need to edit opportunities for their subordinates, but not for other groups. Managers and users should be able to view all opportunities.

Which two approaches are recommended to meet their requirement? (Choose two.)

- A. Set organization-wide defaults to public read/only.
- B. Create standard role hierarchies
- C. Set organization-wide defaults to public read/write.
- D. Create criteria based sharing rules.

**Answer: AD**

#### Explanation:

The correct answer is A and D.

**A. Set organization-wide defaults to public read/only.** This is the foundation for granting broader access. Setting the organization-wide defaults (OWD) for Opportunities to Public Read Only allows all users to see all Opportunity records. However, it restricts the ability to edit the records beyond the owner, role hierarchy access, or sharing rules. OWDs control the baseline level of data access across the organization.[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security\\_owd\\_about.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security_owd_about.htm&type=5)

**D. Create criteria based sharing rules.** Since the OWD settings only grant read access and the requirement is for Regional Managers to edit opportunities of their subordinates, criteria-based sharing rules are necessary. These rules allow you to automatically share records based on defined criteria. In this scenario, you can create a sharing rule where the criteria are based on the Opportunity owner's role. The rule would grant Regional Managers read/write access to Opportunities where the owner is a subordinate in their region. This ensures that managers can edit the appropriate records without granting broader edit access to the entire organization.[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.sharing\\_rules\\_criteria\\_based.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.sharing_rules_criteria_based.htm&type=5)

#### Why the other options are incorrect:

**B. Create standard role hierarchies:** While role hierarchies do grant access to records based on the user's position in the hierarchy, they don't provide the flexibility of criteria-based sharing rules. Role hierarchies grant access to records owned by users below them in the hierarchy, but this access is either read or read/write. The problem specifically states subordinates, so simply being in the same role does not guarantee access, only subordinate roles should have their opportunities editable by the manager.

**C. Set organization-wide defaults to public read/write:** Setting OWD to Public Read/Write would allow every user to edit every Opportunity, which violates the requirement that only regional managers should be able to edit the opportunities of their subordinates. This would grant too much access and compromise data security.



### Question: 61

Which two relationship types can be defined with external objects? (Choose two.)

- A. Cross-Organization Lookup
- B. External Lookup
- C. Indirect Lookup
- D. External Master-Detail

**Answer: BC**

#### Explanation:

The question asks about the relationship types supported for external objects in Salesforce. External objects differ from standard or custom objects; they reference data residing outside the Salesforce org. Due to this external data residency and Salesforce's connection through an adapter, some relationship types are not applicable. Master-detail relationships, for instance, require strong coupling and cascade delete behavior, incompatible with external data.

External Lookup relationships are supported because they allow referencing a standard or custom Salesforce object from an external object. This lookup establishes a connection, letting users navigate to related Salesforce records from an external object record page.

Indirect Lookup relationships are also supported. These relationships link an external object to a Salesforce object (standard or custom), but instead of using the standard Salesforce record ID, they use a custom field on the Salesforce object to match against a specified field on the external object. This flexibility accommodates scenarios where the external data's primary key doesn't directly map to Salesforce record IDs.

Cross-Organization Lookup relationships are not applicable because External Objects by design already integrate with external data sources, rendering such a lookup redundant. External Master-Detail are not applicable as they would enforce tight coupling that external data sources cannot guarantee.

Therefore, the supported relationship types for external objects are External Lookup and Indirect Lookup, enabling efficient integration with external data while maintaining data integrity within the Salesforce platform. [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.external\\_object\\_relationships.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.external_object_relationships.htm&type=5)

[https://developer.salesforce.com/docs/atlas.en-us/platform\\_connect/platform\\_connect\\_relationships.htm](https://developer.salesforce.com/docs/atlas.en-us/platform_connect/platform_connect_relationships.htm)

### Question: 62

Which two are capabilities of Schema Builder? (Choose two.)

- A. Viewing page layouts in a new window.
- B. Editing custom settings.
- C. Showing selected objects on a page.
- D. Creating a new record type.

**Answer: AC**

#### Explanation:

The correct answer is A and C. Schema Builder in Salesforce is a visual tool that simplifies the process of

designing and understanding data models.

Option A is correct because Schema Builder allows users to visualize page layouts for objects in a separate window. This functionality enables administrators and developers to gain a clear understanding of the fields and sections present on a specific page layout, facilitating customization and optimization. Being able to see the visual layout aids in identifying redundant or misplaced fields.

Option C is correct because Schema Builder's core function is to visually represent and manipulate the objects within a Salesforce organization's data model. Users can select specific objects to display on the Schema Builder canvas, allowing them to focus on related entities and their relationships. This selective display is crucial for managing complex data models by isolating relevant components for analysis and modification.

Option B is incorrect because Schema Builder does not allow the editing of custom settings. Custom settings are configured through a dedicated interface, and their management falls outside of the scope of Schema Builder's object-relationship-focused functionality.

Option D is incorrect because while Schema Builder facilitates the creation of custom objects, fields, and relationships, it does not support the direct creation of record types. Record types are typically created and managed through the standard object management interface in Salesforce Setup.

In summary, Schema Builder provides a visual interface for designing and understanding data models, enabling the viewing of page layouts in a new window and displaying selected objects on a page for efficient management and analysis of relationships.

Further research:

Salesforce Help: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.schema\\_builder.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.schema_builder.htm&type=5) Trailhead: [https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/data\\_modeling/data\\_modeling\\_schema\\_builder](https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/data_modeling/data_modeling_schema_builder)

### Question: 63

Which two features can extend record access beyond the organization-wide defaults? (Choose two.)

- A.Criteria-based sharing rules.
- B.Owner-based sharing rules.
- C.Public or private groups.
- D.Dynamic role hierarchy.

**Answer: AB**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is AB: Criteria-based sharing rules and Owner-based sharing rules.

Organization-Wide Defaults (OWD) set the baseline access level for records within your Salesforce org. They define the most restrictive access users can have when they don't own the record and sharing rules haven't been applied. To grant access beyond these OWD, Salesforce provides mechanisms for widening access on a case-by-case basis.

Criteria-based sharing rules extend access based on specific field values on the record. If a record meets the defined criteria, the rule shares the record with a specified set of users or groups. For example, if a Case record has a field "Severity" set to "High," a criteria-based sharing rule could share that Case with a specialist support team.

Owner-based sharing rules extend access based on the record's owner. This means any user who owns a record will automatically grant a specific access level to designated users, roles, or public groups. For example, if a user in the "Sales Operations" role owns an Account, an owner-based sharing rule could grant Read/Write access to that Account to all members of the "Sales Managers" role.

Public and private groups (C) primarily serve as containers for users to simplify assigning permissions and access. While you can share to a group via a sharing rule, the group itself doesn't extend record access.

Dynamic role hierarchy (D) can extend access, but primarily when the OWD for objects is set to "Controlled by Parent." In this scenario, users inherit access to child records if they have access to the parent record via the role hierarchy. However, on its own, it's not the primary mechanism for extending access beyond OWD as it only comes into play when parent-child relationship has been set to Controlled by Parent. Owner-based and Criteria-based sharing rules are much more versatile as they act independent of any relationship settings.

Therefore, criteria-based and owner-based sharing rules are more direct methods of extending record access beyond organization-wide defaults.

Authoritative Links:

Salesforce Sharing: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security\\_sharing.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security_sharing.htm&type=5) Sharing Rules: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security\\_about\\_sharing\\_rules.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.security_about_sharing_rules.htm&type=5)

#### Question: 64

Universal Containers needs to send an Outbound Message to an external system when a record has been updated. What is the recommended feature to meet this requirement?

- A. Lightning Connect
- B. Workflow
- C. Process Builder
- D. Flow Launcher

**Answer: B**

**Explanation:**

The recommended feature to send an Outbound Message upon record updates in Salesforce is Workflow. Outbound Messages are a component specifically designed for Workflow Rules. When a Workflow Rule is triggered by a record update, it can initiate an Outbound Message to send data to an external system. The Outbound Message is a straightforward, declarative way to configure this type of integration, requiring minimal coding. Workflow rules are designed to automate actions based on record changes, and Outbound Messages seamlessly integrate into this framework.

Process Builder, while more powerful than Workflow Rules, offers more complex automation capabilities.

Process Builder can trigger Flows or Apex code to send data to external systems, but using it for a simple Outbound Message is considered overkill and introduces unnecessary complexity. Flow Launcher is not a direct feature; rather, Flows are automation tools that might be invoked by Process Builder or Apex. Lightning Connect is for accessing data from external systems into Salesforce, not for sending data out.

Therefore, Workflow with its dedicated Outbound Message functionality provides the most direct, simple, and efficient solution for Universal Containers' requirement.

Further Research:

**Salesforce Help - Workflow Rules:** <https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?>

### Question: 65

Which three are true about converting a tabular, summary, or matrix report to a joined report? (Choose three.)

- A. Cross filters are not supported in joined reports.
- B. The Rows to Display filter is not supported in joined reports.
- C. Joined report blocks are formatted as matrix reports.
- D. Report formula fields are not supported in joined reports.
- E. Bucket fields are not supported in joined reports.

**Answer: ABE**

#### Explanation:

Let's break down why A, B, and E are the correct answers and why C and D are incorrect when converting a standard Salesforce report (tabular, summary, or matrix) into a joined report:

**A. Cross filters are not supported in joined reports.** This is accurate. Cross filters, which filter based on related objects, aren't directly transferable to joined reports. Joined reports handle combining data from different reports (blocks) at the top level but don't retain the cross-object filtering within each block established in the original report. See [Salesforce Help: Joined Report Considerations](#)

**B. The Rows to Display filter is not supported in joined reports.** This is true. Joined reports operate differently in terms of data presentation. The "Rows to Display" filter, commonly found in tabular and summary reports, allows you to limit the number of rows shown. However, in joined reports, you're generally consolidating entire datasets from the different blocks, making this filter type irrelevant.

**E. Bucket fields are not supported in joined reports.** This is also correct. Bucket fields categorize records based on defined criteria. Joined reports don't directly inherit these bucket fields from the initial reports. While you can recreate similar groupings through formulas or other manipulations within the joined report, the original bucket fields are not carried over during the conversion.

Now, let's look at why the other options are incorrect:

**C. Joined report blocks are formatted as matrix reports.** This is incorrect. Joined report blocks can be formatted as tabular, summary, or matrix reports. The flexibility to choose the format of each block is one of the strengths of joined reports. You are not limited to only matrix formatting.

**D. Report formula fields are not supported in joined reports.** This is incorrect. Report formula fields are supported in joined reports, though you might need to adjust them based on the new structure of the joined report. Formula fields from the original reports can be incorporated and adapted within the respective blocks of the joined report.

### Question: 66

Universal Containers has deployed custom tabs to Production via change sets, without including the profile settings or permission sets.

What is the setting for the visibility of custom tabs?

- A. Custom tabs are hidden for all users.
- B. Custom tabs are default off for all users.
- C. Custom tabs are default on for all users.
- D. Custom tabs are not deployed.

**Answer: A**

**Explanation:**

Here's a detailed justification for why the answer is A (Custom tabs are hidden for all users) and a breakdown of the behavior in Salesforce deployments:

When deploying custom tabs via change sets without including profile settings or permission set configurations related to those tabs, Salesforce defaults to the most restrictive visibility setting for those tabs: "Tab Hidden". This ensures that new features or customizations don't inadvertently disrupt existing user experiences or expose functionality to users who shouldn't have access. The logic behind this behavior is based on security best practices and a "least privilege" principle. Without explicit instructions to make the tab visible (either through profiles or permission sets), the system assumes that the tab should remain hidden. The administrator or developer must then go into each profile or permission set and explicitly set the tab visibility to "Default On" or "Default Off" based on the needs of the users assigned to those profiles or permission sets.

Deploying metadata using change sets requires careful consideration of dependencies, particularly profile and permission set settings that govern user access. Omitting these configurations will often result in unexpected behavior, especially when it comes to visibility of objects, fields, or, as in this case, custom tabs.

Therefore, the safest and most predictable behavior for custom tabs deployed without associated profile/permission set settings is that they are hidden by default.

[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.deploy_customize_tabs.htm&type=5)

[id=sf.deploy\\_customize\\_tabs.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.deploy_customize_tabs.htm&type=5)[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.permissions_overview.htm&type=5)

[id=sf.permissions\\_overview.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.permissions_overview.htm&type=5)

**Question: 67**

Representatives at Universal Containers uses Salesforce to record information for new Leads. When new prospects are added, an outbound message is sent to SAP with the Lead's information.

What automation process will accomplish this without writing any code?

- A. Design an Approval Process that sends an outbound message upon approval.
- B. Create a process using Process Builder to send the outbound message.
- C. Use Flow to create a wizard that will send an outbound message.
- D. Create a Workflow Rule with an outbound message as the action.

**Answer: C**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is C (Use Flow to create a wizard that will send an outbound message). Let's justify this with a detailed explanation:

A Workflow Rule (D) cannot directly initiate an outbound message upon record creation. It requires meeting specific criteria after the record has been created or edited. While Workflow Rules can trigger outbound messages, they are less flexible and less suitable for immediate actions upon record creation.

An Approval Process (A) is designed for scenarios where a record needs to be approved before further actions

can be taken. It's not the appropriate tool for simply sending data to an external system upon record creation. It would be overkill for this specific requirement.

Process Builder (B) could be used, however Flows offer a more direct and arguably easier to maintain path to achieving this.

Flow (C) is the most suitable choice because it provides a visual and declarative interface for automating business processes. In this case, a Record-Triggered Flow can be initiated immediately when a new Lead record is created. This Flow can then directly include an "Outbound Message" element to send the Lead's information to SAP without writing any code. Flows are much more flexible and powerful than Workflow Rules, and are designed for precisely these types of real-time integrations and automation. This allows for seamless and immediate data transfer to the external system. Flow is more easily maintainable because of its visual representation.

Flow is often preferred over Process Builder due to its enhanced capabilities, including error handling, looping, and screen elements for user interaction (although this scenario doesn't require screen elements). This makes it easier to build and maintain more complex automation workflows. The ability to directly trigger an Outbound Message element from a Flow makes it ideal for the described scenario.

Here are some resources for further learning:

**Salesforce Flows:**[https://trailhead.salesforce.com/en/content/learn/modules/business\\_process\\_automation](https://trailhead.salesforce.com/en/content/learn/modules/business_process_automation)

**Outbound Messaging:**[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.workflow\\_om.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.workflow_om.htm&type=5)

**Process Builder vs Flow:**<https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=000385028&type=1>

### Question: 68

Users at Universal Containers need to be able to quickly create a Resource record from the Project record's Chatter feed.

How should the app builder define this functionality?

- A.By creating a custom "Detail Page" Button on the Project.
- B.By creating a custom "Detail Page" Button on the Resource.
- C.By creating a custom "Create a Record" Action on the Project.
- D.By creating a custom "Create a Record" Action on the Resource.

**Answer: C**

**Explanation:**

Here's a detailed justification for why option C is the correct answer, along with supporting concepts and links:

The requirement is to create a Resource record from the Project record's Chatter feed. This implies that the action of creating the Resource is initiated within the context of the Project record. Standard Salesforce functionality offers various methods for creating records and actions, including buttons, links, and custom actions.

Options A and B involve creating detail page buttons. Detail page buttons generally navigate to another page or URL to perform actions. While they can theoretically lead to a Resource creation page, they don't tightly integrate the creation process within the Project record's Chatter feed. They also wouldn't facilitate pre-populating any relevant information from the Project onto the new Resource record.

Options C and D involve custom actions. Custom actions are powerful tools in Salesforce, allowing administrators and developers to define specific actions users can perform in the context of a particular

object. Creating a custom action means the application builder can define custom logic. They allow the pre-population of field values and provide a tailored experience.

The key to selecting between C and D is understanding where the action needs to be initiated. The problem states it must be initiated from the Project's Chatter feed. Therefore, a "Create a Record" action must be placed on the Project object. Placing the action on the Resource object (option D) wouldn't allow creating the Resource from the Project record's Chatter feed.

By creating a custom "Create a Record" action on the Project object (option C), the app builder can achieve the desired functionality. This action can be added to the Chatter feed on the Project record page layout.

When a user clicks the action, they'll be presented with a streamlined interface for creating a new Resource record, potentially pre-populated with information from the Project. This enhances user experience by streamlining the process. This approach is tightly integrated, user-friendly, and aligned with best practices for record creation within Salesforce.

#### Supporting Links:

**Salesforce Help: Actions & Buttons:**[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.actions_overview.htm&type=5)

[id=sf.actions\\_overview.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.actions_overview.htm&type=5)

**Trailhead: Quick Start: Actions:**<https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/projects/quick-start-actions>

**Salesforce Developers: Understanding Global Actions and Object-Specific Actions:**

[https://developer.salesforce.com/docs/atlas.en-us.fundamentals.meta/fundamentals/adg\\_actions\\_global\\_versus\\_object.htm](https://developer.salesforce.com/docs/atlas.en-us.fundamentals.meta/fundamentals/adg_actions_global_versus_object.htm)

[us.fundamentals.meta/fundamentals/adg\\_actions\\_global\\_versus\\_object.htm](https://developer.salesforce.com/docs/atlas.en-us.fundamentals.meta/fundamentals/adg_actions_global_versus_object.htm)

#### Question: 69

A sales manager would like to look at an Account record and view charts of all the related open opportunities, closed/won opportunities, and open cases.

How many report charts can be added to the Account page layout to meet this requirement?

- A.3
- B.4
- C.2
- D.1

**Answer: C**

#### Explanation:

The correct answer is C. Lightning pages, specifically record pages in Lightning Experience, allow you to embed report charts directly onto the page layout to provide users with visual summaries of related data. However, a single Lightning page can only accommodate up to two report chart components.

While the sales manager desires three charts (open opportunities, closed/won opportunities, and open cases), the limitation is that only two report chart components are permitted per Lightning page. You would need to consider alternative solutions or consolidate information to adhere to this constraint. For example, you could combine the opportunity charts or create a separate dashboard accessible via a tab or link.

The Lightning App Builder's design philosophy emphasizes performance and usability. Restricting the number of report charts minimizes the risk of page overload and slow loading times, ensuring a more responsive and streamlined user experience. This aligns with cloud computing best practices for delivering efficient and scalable applications.

References:



### Question: 70

Which three field types should be referenced by a Roll-Up Summary field using SUM? (Choose three.)

- A.Number
- B.Formula
- C.Date
- D.Percent
- E.Currency

**Answer: ADE**

#### Explanation:

The correct answer, ADE (Number, Percent, and Currency), stems from the inherent purpose and functionality of Roll-Up Summary fields in Salesforce. Specifically, the SUM operation in a Roll-Up Summary field is designed to aggregate numerical values. Let's break down why each option is suitable or unsuitable:

**A. Number:** Number fields, which store numeric values without specific formatting, are a primary candidate for the SUM operation. You can readily add numerical data stored in these fields to get a total. This is a fundamental use case for Roll-Up Summary fields related to numeric counts or amounts.

**B. Formula:** Formula fields calculate their values based on other fields or functions. While the result of a formula field might be numeric, referencing a formula field in a Roll-Up Summary using SUM isn't directly supported in the same way as standard numeric fields. You could include the formula's inputs and create a rollup, or if the formula field is of number, currency or percent type, it would be supported.

**C. Date:** Date fields store dates, which are not intended for summation. Adding dates makes no logical sense in a typical business context where Roll-Up Summary fields are utilized. The primary purpose of Date fields involves temporal comparisons and calculations, not aggregation through summation.

**D. Percent:** Percent fields, which store values representing percentages, are appropriate for the SUM operation. Calculating the total percentage across related records is a common business requirement (e.g., total discount percentage).

**E. Currency:** Currency fields store monetary values, making them perfectly suited for the SUM operation. A common use case is to calculate the total revenue from related opportunities.

In summary, the SUM operation within Roll-Up Summary fields is designed to aggregate numerical data stored in Number, Percent, and Currency fields. Date fields are unsuitable for summation, and using the formula directly is unsupported.

For further research, refer to the official Salesforce documentation on Roll-Up Summary Fields:

[Salesforce Help: Define Roll-Up Summary Fields](#)

### Question: 71

When an opportunity close date is delayed by more than 60 days, the manager and the VP of Sales must approve the change.

Which two solutions will meet the requirement? (Choose two.)

- A. Build an approval process that requires unanimous approval from the manager and VP of Sales.
- B. Build a validation rule that does not allow a user to save the opportunity record.
- C. Create a workflow rule that checks for close date less than 60 days and add an e-mail alert.
- D. Create a Process Builder flow that submits the record for an approval process.

**Answer: AD**

**Explanation:**

Here's a detailed justification for why options A and D are the correct solutions, and why B and C are incorrect, for managing Opportunity Close Date delays requiring approval from a manager and VP of Sales:

**Justification for Option A (Build an approval process that requires unanimous approval from the manager and VP of Sales):**

This is a core use case for Salesforce's approval processes. Approval processes are designed to automate the review and approval of records based on defined criteria. When the Opportunity Close Date is delayed by more than 60 days, the process would trigger. By configuring the process to require unanimous approval (meaning both the manager and VP of Sales must approve), it enforces the business requirement. The approval process ensures a structured, auditable, and trackable method for handling these exceptions. This avoids manual emails and verbal approvals, providing a clear audit trail and ensuring adherence to the policy. Salesforce's approval processes provide built-in features like escalation rules (in case an approver doesn't act), recall abilities, and automated actions upon approval/rejection, increasing efficiency and control.

**Justification for Option D (Create a Process Builder flow that submits the record for an approval process):**

Process Builder is a powerful automation tool in Salesforce that allows you to automate business processes using a visual, point-and-click interface. Using Process Builder to initiate an approval process provides a flexible and scalable solution. The flow can be configured to check if the Close Date has been delayed by more than 60 days. If the condition is met, the flow can automatically submit the opportunity record to the approval process built in Option A. This approach makes the process more dynamic and easily maintainable, offering superior integration capabilities.

**Why Option B is Incorrect (Build a validation rule that does not allow a user to save the opportunity record):**

While a validation rule could prevent saving an opportunity with a delayed Close Date, it is not a solution for approval. A validation rule simply blocks the record from being saved, effectively preventing any progress. It doesn't provide a mechanism for review or exception handling. The requirement is for approval, not outright prohibition. This option is far too restrictive and doesn't align with the intent of seeking approval. It's a negative constraint rather than a controlled process.

**Why Option C is Incorrect (Create a workflow rule that checks for close date less than 60 days and add an e-mail alert):**

This option is essentially the opposite of what is required. It checks for close dates less than 60 days, which is not the condition for the required approval process. Furthermore, even if the condition were corrected, an email alert alone does not provide any enforcement mechanism or approval process. It simply notifies someone, but there's no guarantee of action or any audit trail of the decision. It doesn't fulfill the core requirement of requiring management approval for significant delays. Workflow rules are also being phased out in favor of Flows which offer far more capabilities.

**Authoritative Links for Further Research:**

**Salesforce Approval Processes:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.approvals\\_about.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.approvals_about.htm&type=5)

**Salesforce Process Builder:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.process\\_limits.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.process_limits.htm&type=5)

### Question: 72

Universal Containers wants to streamline its data capture process by linking fields together. UC wants to do this so that the available values on dependent fields are driven by values selected on controlling fields. Which three considerations support the stated requirements? (Choose three.)

- A. Multi-select picklists can be dependent picklists but not controlling fields.
- B. Checkbox fields can be controlling fields but not dependent fields.
- C. Standard and custom picklist fields can be dependent fields.
- D. Custom picklist fields can be either controlling or dependent fields.
- E. The data import wizards only allow values to be imported into a dependent picklist if they match the appropriate controlling field.

**Answer: ABD**

#### Explanation:

Let's break down why options A, B, and D are correct regarding controlling and dependent fields in Salesforce, specifically within the context of Platform App Builder certification:

**A. Multi-select picklists can be dependent picklists but not controlling fields.** This is a fundamental limitation in Salesforce. Multi-select picklists are designed to allow users to select multiple options, and their behavior complicates the logic needed to control other fields. Salesforce doesn't support them as controllers for this reason. The official documentation confirms this constraint.

**B. Checkbox fields can be controlling fields but not dependent fields.** Checkboxes, representing a binary (true/false) value, can act as controlling fields. Their simple on/off nature is easy to translate into rules for dependent field visibility or available values. However, a checkbox's state cannot be dependent on another field because it doesn't have a set of values to be filtered or controlled. This is a constraint within the Salesforce UI and data model.

**D. Custom picklist fields can be either controlling or dependent fields.** This is the core functionality of dependent picklists. Custom picklists are designed to be flexible and can serve as both controlling (driving the behavior of others) and dependent (having their behavior dictated by others) fields, making them crucial for streamlined data capture processes as desired by Universal Containers. The ability to create custom picklists and their inter-dependencies is a common requirement and covered extensively in Platform App Builder training.

Options C and E are incorrect:

**C. Standard and custom picklist fields can be dependent fields.** While custom picklists can be dependent, standard picklist fields are subject to certain restrictions and often can not be. Furthermore, the statement isn't precise enough; it needs to specify that only custom picklist fields are suitable for a dependent relationship.

**E. The data import wizards only allow values to be imported into a dependent picklist if they match the appropriate controlling field.** While ideally, data import should adhere to the dependent picklist rules, Salesforce data import wizards don't strictly enforce these constraints. They provide options for handling invalid values or nulls. This is more about data integrity during import than a hard-coded dependency enforcement.

[Salesforce Data Import Guide](#)  
[Considerations for Defining Dependent Picklists](#)

### Question: 73

Universal Containers has a junction object called Billings with a primary Master-Detail relationship with Accounts and a secondary Master-Detail relationship with Orders. The app builder has a requirement to change the primary Master-Detail relationship to a Lookup. What happens to the secondary Master-Detail relationship with Orders?

- A. The secondary Master-Detail object relationship needs to be reestablished.
- B. The secondary Master-Detail object also converts to a lookup.
- C. The secondary Master-Detail object becomes the primary.
- D. The secondary Master-Detail object relationship is no longer valid.

**Answer: C**

#### Explanation:

Here's a detailed justification for why option C is the correct answer, along with supporting information and links:

The core concept here lies in understanding Master-Detail relationships in Salesforce and the limitations imposed on them. A Master-Detail relationship creates a tight coupling between two objects. Crucially, a child (detail) object must have a parent (master). Furthermore, a child object can only have two Master-Detail relationships. When you change the primary Master-Detail relationship on the "Billings" object from Accounts to a Lookup, the "Billings" object no longer has a primary Master-Detail parent.

Because a detail object must have a Master, Salesforce automatically promotes the remaining Master-Detail relationship, in this case, the relationship with "Orders", to become the primary Master-Detail relationship. The system will ensure that all existing Billings records retain their association with the corresponding Orders records. No data is lost, and the application continues to function correctly.

Option A is incorrect because the secondary Master-Detail relationship doesn't need to be re-established. Salesforce handles the promotion automatically. Option B is incorrect because the secondary Master-Detail relationship becomes the primary. It doesn't convert to a lookup relationship. Option D is incorrect because the secondary Master-Detail relationship is still valid; it simply becomes the primary Master-Detail.

In summary, Salesforce ensures the integrity of the Master-Detail relationship by automatically promoting the secondary Master-Detail relationship to primary when the original primary is removed. This design decision maintains data integrity and prevents orphaned detail records.

Here are some resources for further reading:

**Salesforce Help: Master-Detail Relationship Fields:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.relationships\\_considerations.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.relationships_considerations.htm&type=5)

(Pay close attention to the considerations and limitations of Master-Detail relationships.)

**Trailhead Module: Data Modeling:** [https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/data\\_modeling](https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/data_modeling) (This module covers the basics of data modeling in Salesforce, including relationships.)

### Question: 74

Which two statements are true when a new full sandbox is created? (Choose two.)

- A. Chatter data will be copied to the sandbox by default.
- B. Default e-mail deliverability is set to system e-mail only.

C. Usernames will be modified uniquely for that sandbox.

D. Users' e-mail addresses will not be modified.

**Answer: BC**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is B and C. Here's a detailed justification:

**B. Default e-mail deliverability is set to system e-mail only:** When a new full sandbox is created, Salesforce configures the email deliverability settings to "System email only." This prevents the sandbox from inadvertently sending out mass emails to production users. This setting helps protect the production environment by ensuring that test emails don't accidentally reach real customers or internal users. It's a safety mechanism built into sandbox environments.

[Salesforce Help: Email Deliverability Settings](#)

**C. Usernames will be modified uniquely for that sandbox:** To avoid conflicts between sandbox and production environments, Salesforce automatically modifies usernames in the sandbox. Typically, a suffix like ".sandboxname" is appended to the username. This ensures that users can log into both environments without any authentication clashes. It is a crucial step for security and environment isolation. This modification helps to distinguish between the same user account in both environments.

[Salesforce Help: Sandbox Usernames](#)

**Why A is incorrect:** Chatter data is not copied by default to the sandbox. It is a configurable option.

**Why D is incorrect:** While email deliverability is restricted, users' email addresses can be modified to prevent sending emails to real customers. In many sandbox creation strategies, admins often alter email addresses to prevent accidental production emails.

### Question: 75

When is it recommended to refresh a Full sandbox?

A. Whenever a new Production user is added.

B. Within 3 hours of when it is needed.

C. After a major Production release.

D. After UAT sign-off.

**Answer: C**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is C: After a major Production release.

A Full sandbox is a replica of your Production org, containing all data, configuration, and customizations. Its primary purpose is to provide a realistic environment for testing, training, and development without impacting the live Production environment. After a significant Production release, which includes new features, code deployments, or data migrations, a Full sandbox refresh is crucial for several reasons.

Firstly, the sandbox needs to accurately mirror the post-release state of Production to facilitate valid testing. Existing tests might break or behave differently if the sandbox is not aligned with the updated Production environment. Refreshing the sandbox ensures that testing is conducted against the current codebase and

data structure.

Secondly, refreshing after a major release allows developers to work on bug fixes, enhancements, or integrations in an environment that mirrors the real-world conditions of Production. This reduces the risk of encountering unexpected issues when deploying changes from the sandbox back to Production.

Thirdly, having an up-to-date sandbox provides a suitable environment for training users on the new features and functionalities introduced in the Production release. This allows users to familiarize themselves with the changes before they are exposed to the live environment, minimizing disruption and improving adoption rates.

Options A, B, and D are less appropriate. Adding a new Production user doesn't necessitate a Full sandbox refresh. Waiting until 3 hours before it's needed is impractical due to the time required for the refresh process (Full sandboxes can take several hours or even days to refresh). Refreshing after UAT sign-off is useful but doesn't guarantee that the sandbox will reflect major updates in Production that may have occurred since UAT was conducted. Therefore, the most strategic and impactful time to refresh a Full sandbox is after a major Production release.

For more information, refer to Salesforce's documentation on sandbox environments:[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.data\\_sandbox\\_environments.htm&type=5https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/development-lifecycle-and-deployment/plan-your-sandbox-strategy](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.data_sandbox_environments.htm&type=5https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/development-lifecycle-and-deployment/plan-your-sandbox-strategy)

[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.data\\_sandbox\\_environments.htm&type=5https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/development-lifecycle-and-deployment/plan-your-sandbox-strategy](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.data_sandbox_environments.htm&type=5https://trailhead.salesforce.com/content/learn/modules/development-lifecycle-and-deployment/plan-your-sandbox-strategy)

### Question: 76

Which capabilities should an app builder consider when creating custom report types?

- A. The detail object in a Master-Detail relationship cannot be added as a secondary object on a custom report type.
- B. Any object can be chosen unless the object is not visible to the person creating the report type through security settings.
- C. When the primary object is a custom object and is deleted, then the report type and any reports created from it must be deleted manually.
- D. Once a report type is saved with a standard or custom primary object, the primary object cannot be changed for that report type.

**Answer: D**

**Explanation:**

The correct answer is D: "Once a report type is saved with a standard or custom primary object, the primary object cannot be changed for that report type." This statement accurately reflects a fundamental limitation of custom report types in Salesforce.

Once you define the primary object for a custom report type, the underlying data structure is established.

Changing the primary object would fundamentally alter the report type's schema, requiring a complete restructuring of any reports based on it. Salesforce prevents this to maintain data integrity and avoid breaking existing reports. This design decision ensures stability and predictable reporting.

Option A is incorrect because the master object, not the detail object, in a Master-Detail relationship can be added as a secondary object. The detail object is automatically included.

Option B is partially correct regarding object visibility. An object must be visible to the report type creator through security settings (profile/permission set object permissions). However, it implies that any visible object can be chosen, which is not always true depending on its relationships with the primary object.



Option C is also inaccurate. When a custom primary object is deleted, Salesforce does automatically delete the associated report type and reports. Salesforce utilizes metadata dependency tracking; deletion of a dependent component (the object) automatically triggers deletion of components dependent on it (report type and reports).

Therefore, option D correctly highlights a key restriction: the immutability of the primary object after the report type is saved. This restriction ensures the stability and integrity of existing reports built upon that report type. You would need to create a new report type if you wanted to report off a different primary object. This immutability contrasts with some other configurations in cloud platforms like AWS where you have more flexibility, but Salesforce optimizes for data integrity and predictability.

Further Research:

**Salesforce Help: Report Types:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.reports\\_report\\_type\\_considerations.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.reports_report_type_considerations.htm&type=5) - This Salesforce help article details the considerations and limitations of creating and using report types.

### Question: 77

Universal Containers wants to automatically assign a specific permission set to new users. Which two actions should be completed in order to meet this requirement? (Choose two.)

- A. Create a workflow rule on the User object to assign a permission set.
- B. Create a Process on the User object to launch a flow.
- C. Create an Approval process on the User object to assign a permission set.
- D. Create a Flow on the User object to assign a permission set.

**Answer: BD**

**Explanation:**

Here's a detailed justification for why options B and D are the correct choices for automatically assigning a permission set to new users in Salesforce, while A and C are incorrect:

**Correct Options:**

**B. Create a Process on the User object to launch a flow:** Process Builder is a powerful automation tool within Salesforce. It can be configured to monitor the User object for new record creation (when a new user is created). When a new user record is created, the Process Builder can be triggered to launch a Flow. This makes it a suitable tool for initiation.

**D. Create a Flow on the User object to assign a permission set:** Flows are Salesforce's advanced automation tool, allowing complex logic and actions to be defined. Within the Flow, you can use the "Assign Permission Set" element to grant the desired permission set to the new user. The Flow would be designed to run when triggered by the Process Builder (from option B). This provides flexibility and control for the actual assignment.

**Why other options are incorrect:**

**A. Create a workflow rule on the User object to assign a permission set:** Workflow rules in Salesforce have limited capabilities and cannot directly assign permission sets. Workflow rules primarily deal with field updates, email alerts, and task creation. They lack the functionality needed for direct permission set assignment. While field updates might be used to trigger another process, a flow is more directly suited to permission set assignment.



**C. Create an Approval process on the User object to assign a permission set:** Approval processes are designed for managing records that require approval before further action can be taken. Assigning permission sets upon user creation doesn't require an approval step; it's typically an automatic, administrative task. Using an approval process would be an unnecessary and inefficient solution for this requirement.

#### In Summary:

The most effective and efficient approach is to use Process Builder to monitor new user creation and then launch a Flow that handles the actual permission set assignment. This combination provides flexibility, control, and adherence to Salesforce best practices for automation.

#### Supporting Documentation:

**Flows:**<https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.flow.htm&type=5>

**Process Builder:**[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.process\\_limits.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.process_limits.htm&type=5) **Permission**

**Sets:**[https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.perm\\_sets\\_overview.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.perm_sets_overview.htm&type=5)

### Question: 78

The VP of Account Management at Universal Containers has requested that all Contacts' mailing postal codes match the associated account's shipping postal code.  
How can this be enforced using validation rules?

- A. Create a validation rule using a Not Equal operator.
- B. Create a validation rule using a Compare operator.
- C. Create a validation rule using the DISTANCE() function.
- D. Create a validation rule using the GEOLOCATION() function.

#### Answer: A

#### Explanation:

Here's a detailed justification for why option A is the correct approach:

The goal is to ensure consistency between a Contact's Mailing Postal Code and its associated Account's Shipping Postal Code. This means we need to flag records where these two values do not match. Validation rules in Salesforce are designed to prevent users from saving records that don't meet specific criteria.

Option A suggests using a validation rule with a "Not Equal" operator. This is precisely what we need. The validation rule would compare the Contact's Mailing Postal Code field to the related Account's Shipping Postal Code field. If the two values are not equal, the validation rule will trigger, displaying an error message and preventing the record from being saved.

Let's break down why the other options are incorrect:

**B. Create a validation rule using a Compare operator:** While you could technically use comparison operators (e.g., >, <, >=, <=), the core requirement is to check for inequality, not whether one value is greater or less than the other. A "Not Equal" operator is a more direct and readable way to achieve this.

**C. Create a validation rule using the DISTANCE() function:** The DISTANCE() function is used for calculating the geographic distance between two locations (latitude and longitude). It's not relevant to comparing postal codes.

**D. Create a validation rule using the GEOLOCATION() function:** GEOLOCATION() is used to return the geolocation details of a location. It's not relevant to comparing postal codes.

Therefore, the most straightforward and effective approach is to use a validation rule with a "Not Equal" operator to directly check if the postal codes are different. This aligns with the fundamental purpose of validation rules, which is to enforce data quality and consistency by preventing the saving of records that violate specified criteria.

Here's the general structure of the validation rule formula (using the "Not Equal" operator):

`Contact.MailingPostalCode <> Account.ShippingPostalCode`

This formula essentially says: "If the Contact's Mailing Postal Code is not equal to the related Account's Shipping Postal Code, then trigger the validation rule." Thus, option A is the correct answer.

For further research on validation rules and operators in Salesforce:

**Salesforce Help: Define Validation Rules:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize\\_valrule.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.customize_valrule.htm&type=5)

**Salesforce Help: Validation Rule Operators:** [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.formula\\_using\\_operators.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.formula_using_operators.htm&type=5)

### Question: 79

Which two behaviors may occur if workflow rules are reevaluated after a field change by a field update? (Choose two.)

- A. Workflow rules trigger more workflow rules to be re-evaluated.
- B. A recursive loop potentially results in exceeding governor limits.
- C. Workflow rules trigger validation rules on field updates.
- D. Cross-object workflow rules result in re-evaluation after field change.

**Answer: AB**

**Explanation:**

Here's a detailed justification for why options A and B are the correct behaviors when workflow rules are re-evaluated after a field change caused by a field update, along with supporting concepts and links:

When a workflow rule updates a field, it can be configured to re-evaluate other workflow rules. This re-evaluation can create cascading effects. Option A, "Workflow rules trigger more workflow rules to be re-evaluated," accurately describes this behavior. If the field update triggered by the first workflow rule modifies a field that triggers another workflow rule, that second workflow rule will be evaluated. This chain reaction continues as long as subsequent workflow rules are triggered by field updates.

Option B, "A recursive loop potentially results in exceeding governor limits," is also correct. This cascading re-evaluation can lead to a recursive loop. Imagine Workflow Rule 1 updates Field A, triggering Workflow Rule 2. If Workflow Rule 2 also updates Field A, this could potentially trigger Workflow Rule 1 again. This cycle can repeat endlessly, quickly consuming Salesforce governor limits, which are safeguards to prevent code from monopolizing shared resources. Exceeding these limits results in the transaction failing. The danger lies in poorly designed workflows that inadvertently trigger each other repeatedly.

Option C, "Workflow rules trigger validation rules on field updates," is incorrect. While validation rules can be triggered by field updates, workflow rules themselves do not directly trigger them. Validation rules are automatically enforced by Salesforce whenever a record is created or updated. While workflow rules can cause data changes that cause validation rules to fire, the trigger is the data change itself, not the workflow.

Option D, "Cross-object workflow rules result in re-evaluation after field change," is partially misleading.

Cross-object workflow rules can lead to re-evaluation, but this isn't guaranteed. The crucial factor is whether the field change on the related object (due to the cross-object workflow rule) then causes re-evaluation on the original object. It's the cascading effect of field updates, not just the presence of cross-object workflow rules, that determines re-evaluation. Re-evaluation depends on other workflow rules monitoring fields updated by cross-object workflow rules.

In summary, the re-evaluation of workflow rules after field updates introduces the possibility of triggering further workflow rules (A), which, if not carefully designed, can lead to recursive loops that exhaust governor limits (B).

Further Research:

Salesforce Help: [https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.workflow\\_considerations.htm&type=5](https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=sf.workflow_considerations.htm&type=5) (Workflow Considerations)

Salesforce Help: <https://help.salesforce.com/s/articleView?id=000386910&type=1> (Workflow Rule Considerations)

### Question: 80

Which two are true statements about record types? (Choose two.)

- A.They can be used to control user role hierarchy.
- B.They allow different page layouts and mandatory fields.
- C.They can be enabled by profile and permission set.
- D.They allow different picklist values for all picklist fields.

**Answer: BC**

**Explanation:**

Here's a detailed justification for why options B and C are correct, and why A and D are incorrect, regarding Record Types in Salesforce:

Record types are powerful features in Salesforce used to customize the user experience and data entry process for different categories of records within the same object.

#### **Option B: "They allow different page layouts and mandatory fields." - CORRECT**

This statement is fundamentally true. Record types are directly tied to page layouts. You can assign different page layouts to different record types of the same object. This allows you to display specific fields relevant to a particular type of record and hide irrelevant ones. Furthermore, you can define different sets of required (mandatory) fields for each record type. For instance, a "Support Case" record type might require different fields than a "Sales Case" record type. This flexibility ensures data consistency and relevance based on the record's purpose.

#### **Option C: "They can be enabled by profile and permission set." - CORRECT**

This is also correct. Record types, unlike some other Salesforce features, are directly associated with profiles and permission sets. This control allows you to determine which users have access to create or edit records of specific types. You can specify default record types at the profile level so that users see the appropriate type by default when creating new records. Permission sets offer more granular control by allowing you to grant users access to specific record types, irrespective of their profile, which can be useful for users with very specific roles and data management needs.

#### **Option A: "They can be used to control user role hierarchy." - INCORRECT**

Record types do not directly control the user role hierarchy. The role hierarchy in Salesforce governs data access based on a user's position within an organization's reporting structure. While record types influence data visibility via page layouts and record access via profiles and permissions sets, they don't intrinsically dictate the user's placement or influence within the role hierarchy. The role hierarchy controls record ownership and sharing permissions based on organizational structure, an aspect independent of record types.

**Option D: "They allow different picklist values for all picklist fields." - INCORRECT**

Record types do not allow for complete flexibility in picklist values for all picklist fields. While you can restrict the available picklist values for a specific picklist field per record type using picklist value sets, this doesn't automatically extend to all picklist fields in the object. This limited control helps ensure that users select relevant options and maintain data integrity. This means you can have different subsets of the master picklist value available based on the specific record type that is in use.

In conclusion, record types are critical tools for tailoring the user experience and enforcing data consistency in Salesforce, primarily through page layouts and profile-based access. They allow you to create distinct processes and customize data inputs based on different record types, which is crucial for building effective and user-friendly Salesforce applications.

**Authoritative Links:**

Salesforce Help: [Record Types](#)

Trailhead: [Record Types](#)

MY EXAM.PK